ABOUT BEHAVIOROLOGY

Behaviorology is an independently organized discipline featuring the natural science of behavior. Behaviorologists study the functional relations between behavior and its independent variables in the behavior–determining environment. Behaviorological accounts are based on the behavioral capacity of the species, the personal history of the behaving organism, and the current physical and social environment in which behavior occurs. Behaviorologists discover the natural laws governing behavior. They then develop beneficial behavior–engineering technologies applicable to behavior related concerns in all fields including child rearing, education, employment, entertainment, government, law, marketing, medicine, and self–management.

Behaviorology features strictly natural accounts for behavioral events. In this way behaviorology differs from disciplines that entertain fundamentally superstitious assumptions about humans and their behavior. Behaviorology excludes the mystical notion of a rather spontaneous origination of behavior by the willful action of ethereal, body–dwelling agents connoted by such terms as mind, psyche, self, muse, or even pronouns like I, me, and you.

Among behavior scientists who respect the philosophy of naturalism, two major strategies have emerged through which their respective proponents would have the natural science of behavior contribute to the culture. One strategy is to work in basic non–natural science units and demonstrate to the other members the kind of effective science that natural philosophy can inform. In contrast, behaviorologists are organizing an entirely independent discipline for the study of behavior that can take its place as one of the recognized basic natural sciences.
As part of the organizational structure of the independent natural science of behavior, The International Behaviorology Institute (TIBI), a non-profit professional organization, exists to focus behaviorological philosophy and science on a broad range of cultural problems. TIBI sponsors an association (the TIBI Association, or TIBIA) for interested people to join, supporting the mission of TIBI and participating in its activities. And Behaviorology Today is the magazine/newsletter of the Institute. The guest and staff writers of Behaviorology Today provide at least minimally peer-reviewed articles as well as, on occasion and with explicit designation, fully peer-reviewed articles. They write on the full range of disciplinary topics including historical, philosophical, conceptual, educational, experimental, and technological (applied) considerations. Please join us—if you have not already done so—and support bringing the benefits of behaviorology to humanity. (Contributions to TIBI or TIBIA are tax-deductible.)
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Here are some of the featured items planned for the next issue (Fall 2005) of Behaviorology Today, although these plans may change:

On Verbal Behavior: The Fourth of Four Parts (Lawrence E. Fraley).
The TIBI course syllabus for BEHG 410: Behaviorological Thanatology and Dignified Dying.

Note: This issue contains one new TIBI online course syllabus along with four syllabi printed before the last issue (Volume 7, Number 2, Fall 2004) as these have been updated. Four other updated syllabi appeared in that last issue, and new syllabi will appear in future issues (see the Syllabus Directory on p. 51).—Ed.
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While authors retain copyrights to their articles, The International Behaviorology Institute (TIBI) holds the copyright to www.behaviorology.org and to Behaviorology Today, the TIBI magazine and newsletter: Copyright © 2005 TIBI, Inc.
On Verbal Behavior: The Third of Four Parts

Lawrence E. Fraley
West Virginia University

Editor’s note: Interest in the behaviorological analysis of verbal behavior (Skinner, 1957) continues to grow. (For an example see the editor’s note to Fraley, 2004a, which is Part 1 of this paper. Also see the syllabi for TIB’s two online verbal behavior courses, BEHG 355–Verbal Behavior I, and BEHG 475–Verbal Behavior II. These syllabi appear, respectively, in these issues of Behaviorology Today: Volume 7, Number 2, and Volume 8, Number 1.)

To help support continuing interest in verbal behavior, Behaviorology Today presents this four-part series on verbal behavior. The first part appeared in Volume 7, Number 1. The second part appeared in Volume 7, Number 2. This is the third part. And the remaining part will appear in the next issue. (One part appears in each consecutive issue, beginning with Volume 7, Number 1.) All four parts derive from a chapter of the author’s book General Behaviorology: The Natural Science of Human Behavior. (At the www.behaviorology.org web site, you can find more detailed information about this book by selecting the “General Behaviorology” page.)

For each part, the headings hint at the contents:

Some interesting headings in Part 1 (Fraley, 2004a) were: Terminological Issues, The Antecedent Control of Verbal Behavior, How Instances of Verbal Behavior are Classified, and The Mand.

In Part 2 (Fraley, 2004b) some interesting headings were: Verbal Behavior Under the Control of Verbal Stimuli, The Tact, Abstraction, Private Events, Reality, and Temporal Relations.

Some interesting headings in Part 3 are: Autoclitic Verbal Behavior, Descriptive Autoclitics, Autoclitics that Function as Mands, Qualifying Autoclitics, Quantifying Autoclitics, The Autoclitic Functions of Grammar and Syntax, and The Nature and Occurrence of Composition.


The original book chapter was undergoing revision when this part, and Part 4, were extracted for inclusion in this and the next issue. Here is Part 3.—Ed.*

* The author’s footnotes are at the end of the paper.
behavior of looking at is maintained by contact with certain constituent properties of the whole flower.

Obviously, the vocal manifestation of the term for or the term at in the statement "I am looking (blank) a flower" is controlled antecedently by substantially different ongoing functional relations between the environment and the behavior of rendering that statement. Those alternative autoclitics are controlled by features in the part of the environment that is in control of the current looking behavior. If the ongoing functional relation is between the characteristics of the general ambient environment and the looking behavior (especially, environmental characteristics that were previously associated with flowers), that kind of relation evokes the preposition for. If, on the other hand, the ongoing functional relation is between the characteristics of a particular flower and looking behavior, that kind of relation evokes the preposition at. Both kinds of evocation are naturally occurring functions that will simply manifest whenever they can do so.

The distinction between controls that is implicit in the alternative occurrences of the terms for and at is of obvious importance for a mediator (i.e., a listener). If the verbalizer had simply said flower, a mediator would probably have asked What about it? Such a probing question would tend to evoke a more complete statement (e.g., I am looking for a flower or I am looking at a flower). In the more complete statement perhaps the most helpful element for the listener is the prepositional autoclitic (i.e., for or at).

The speaker's statement also includes additional kinds of autoclitical responses. Typically, the verbalizer's statement would include the assertive autoclitical phrase I am (I am looking...). The I... here a relational autoclitical, relates the behavior that is described in the remainder of the statement to the verbalizer of the descriptive statement. That is, the I... indicates to the mediator that the person whose looking behavior is being controlled by features of the proximal environment is the same person who is now speaking. The ...am... carries the temporal implication of currentness and thus indicates that the described behavior--controlling relation is now in effect.

Many autoclitics, although formally prescribed, are redundant, at least in certain cases, and on such occasions can be omitted without degrading the functional capacity of a statement to control the behavior of a mediator. For instance, if a person who is looking intently at a patch of vegetation utters the explanatory fragment ...look for flower..., the effect on the behavior of a mediator may be very similar to the effect of the more complete version I am looking for a flower. In general, however, listeners need plenty of help, so verbal communities, in reinforcing the inclusion of autoclitics, tend to err on the side of inclusiveness. Scientific journal editors will often require that an author include kinds of autoclitical enhancements that acceptably may be omitted from statements in less formal writing or in conversations (see, for example, the oft--omitted bracketed inclusion in the next sentence). Autoclitical features [that are] embedded in statements become more important if a potential mediator cannot observe verbalizers in their prevailing environmental contexts and can only hear the verbalizers' statements, as in the case of telephone conversations that are limited to the audio mode.

Obviously, how a vocalizer's verbal response is controlled is important to a listener. Earlier sections of this chapter featured two differing classes of controlling relations between environment and verbal behavior that result respectively in acts and mands. As previous examples have clearly implied, we tend to respond very differently to an utterance of Duck! when it is evoked by a certain kind of bird than when it is evoked by an incoming projectile. If that utterance is evoked instead by a sequence of letters printed on a piece of paper, we tend to respond in yet other ways that are appropriate for an audience to which a vocalizer is reading—and still differently if the utterance of Duck! is evoked by an approaching acquaintance whose name is Duck Stephenson. If the speaker has just encountered an antique amphibious vehicle from the World War II era that was known as a Duck, then the utterance should evoke yet a different kind of audience response. As these possibilities illustrate, to respond appropriately to a vocalizer's utterance a mediator often needs more than contact with the mere sound of the vocalizer's verbal response. Typically, a listener also must contact some additional evidence pertaining to the kind and strength of the controls on that vocalizer's verbal production.

The stimuli that are controlling the statements of a vocalizer may also be directly available to potential mediators. For example, when a speaker exclaims Duck! in response to a bird floating on a pond, a potential mediator who hears that utterance may also be looking at that duck on that pond. However, in many cases the mediator does not enjoy such a perspective. The mediator must then rely on autoclitical enhancements to the verbalizer's basic utterance, which the verbalizer must provide. Such an autoclitical enhancement may manifest only as a subtle linguistic nuance or intonation—perhaps a slight grammatical variation, a hint of intoned mockery, or some language that describes or implies the nature of the vocalizer's contact with that to which the utterance pertains. Verbal communities typically condition their members to respond discriminatively to such subtleties.

A mediator is especially in need of such assistance in situations where that mediator does not have direct independent access to the factors that control the verbalizer's utterance nor to the historical events that have made possible the current functional relations between those factors and the vocalizer's response to them. That is, the mediator's
interpretation of a vocalizer’s utterance may be hindered if the listener is unable to describe the conditioning history of the vocalizer. In common language, listeners may have no idea what a vocalizer is talking about nor, if they do, why the vocalizer would be speaking in that way about it.

Vocalizers could simply say more,... continuing to address the topic at length thereby providing more detail, especially about the current relations that are controlling their verbal behavior. Listeners often reinforce a vocalizer’s doing so, because the appropriateness of the consequences supplied by those mediators depends on contact not only with what is said but with indications of why it was said. More economically, however, the initial presentation of a speaker’s basic utterance may simply vary in stylistic ways that permit the mediator to infer details of the controlling relation that is responsible for what was said.

Because such variations are often necessary for an appropriate response by the mediator, verbal communities have conditioned speakers to provide such special responses to the properties of the controlling relations through which their primary verbal responses are being produced, thus rendering a primary response more validly interpretable. When young people are taught to “speak in full sentences,” that objective generally alludes to the linguistic practices by which the more directly controlled parts of a statement are embellished or joined together with additional elements that provide details on the relations that link the environment to that statement.

Those special additional responses to the features of behavior–controlling relations are called autoclitics. An autoclitical verbal behavior is classed as such because it indicates to a mediator either a property of the speaker’s behavior or the circumstances responsible for that property. Furthermore, once the forms of an autoclitical repertoire are established within a verbal community, their occurrences in the verbal behavior of the community members are much more economical than extended descriptions of the nature of the prevailing controls. For instance, it is much more economical for me to say I am looking at a DUCK, with emphasis on the prepositional object, than to explain that a vision of a single duck is currently happening to me as long as my head and open eyes are oriented in a certain direction. Implicitly, I predict that this will also happen to you if your looking behavior comes under control of my own looking behavior in the way that we describe as copying or imitating. Furthermore, I assume that you will be reinforced by the result.

Several subclasses of autoclitics have been identified, and some of them will be discussed separately in this section. The term autoclitical, coined by B.F. Skinner, pertains to verbal behavior that is controlled by the relations that determine other verbal behavior. The focus in the study of autoclitical verbal behavior is on its effect on the mediator. Earlier in this chapter we considered verbal behavior that is evoked respectively by (a) aversive stimuli or the operations that produce them (mands), (b) other verbal behavior, and (c) things that share in defining the environment (tacts). In this section, we consider autoclitical responses, which are evoked by the behavior–controlling relations that determine those other kinds of verbal behavior.

In summary, we can say that autoclitical verbal supplements by a vocalizer increase the probability that a mediator will respond effectively to what a vocalizer is under contingencies to say. Therefore, autoclitics are conditioned within a verbal community the members of which benefit when autoclitics manifest as people speak to one another. Autoclitical verbal behavior occurs in association with primary verbal responses that represent other classes of verbal behavior.

Once the necessary conditioning has occurred to the vocalizer, the vocalizer’s autoclitical verbal behavior thereafter occurs as naturally as the verbal behavior in any other class. That is, autoclitics too are simply evoked by environmental stimuli. However, their controls tend to be more elusive than those on other classes of verbal behavior and may not be as intuitively obvious to listeners. Therefore, to a greater extent than with other kinds of verbal behavior, people have tended to construe autoclitical verbal behavior as evidence of a mental self–agent that proactively arranges the verbal behavior of a vocalizer.

Behaviorological training is usually required for the autoclitical aspects of speech to be carried conceptually into the realm of naturalism. Absent some training in the relevant behaviorological rudiments, autoclitical verbal behavior often seems mysterious. Even persons trained in other natural sciences can find themselves analytically unprepared to get past recourse to the traditional superstitious assumption that autoclitical verbal behavior represents the craft of a willful and hence responsible self–agent.

**Descriptive Autoclitics**

Speakers will often have been conditioned to describe other behavior that they are exhibiting (e.g., a statement such as I’m kicking the football). Here, a nonverbal behavior (kicking) shares in evoking some verbal behavior of a kind that is said to describe it. On some occasions, the behavior being described is other of the same speaker’s verbal behavior (e.g., I’m reading this book, or I’m thinking about our situation). When verbal behavior describes or qualifies other of the speaker’s ongoing verbal behavior, it is in the subclass that is called descriptive autoclitics. A descriptive autoclitical is associated with other of the speaker’s verbal behavior, and it affects a given mediator at the same time as does the other kind of verbal behavior with which that descriptive autoclitical is associated. A verbal community arranges the contingencies under which such autoclitics are conditioned. That training is typically long and can be difficult.
Consider this example of a descriptive autoclitic: A vocalizer, when manding a book, may say Pass that book. However, if the vocalizer says I’m telling you to pass that book, the descriptive autoclitic phrase I’m telling you to... describes the manding episode in which the vocalizer is already engaged. Note that the mediator is concurrently affected by both kinds of verbal behavior, which occur in close association within the same statement. That is, the single statement contains both the mand (...pass that book) and a descriptive autoclitic that describes the manding (I’m telling you to...).

The mand per se is exhibited under control of the book plus the conditions that define a state of book deprivation for the vocalizer. Note, however, that the controls on the descriptive autoclitic behavior are different. The autoclitic aspects of the statement are evoked by the vocalizer’s own manding behavior in relation to the mediator, and in this example, the autoclitic portion describes the ongoing manding as the telling kind of manding behavior (i.e., I’m telling...). Thus, (a) the mand per se and (b) the description of its current occurrence are respectively subject to separate analyses—one of thematic pertinence and one pertinent to the descriptive autoclitic enhancement that announces the fact that a certain form of manding is in progress (in case that fact, and perhaps its implications, were not already in sufficient control of the mediator’s behavior).

Why the simple mand, Pass the book, would evoke such descriptive autoclitic enhancements may be of analytical concern. We may find that the answer rests with the listener. Suppose that the simple mand has occurred in the recent past and gone unreinforced. If the mediator appears to be inattentive or exhibits other evidence that implies continuing noncompliance, a repetition of the mand may be strengthened with a supplemental description of the fact that the mand is in progress (i.e., I’m telling you to...). Evidence of the vocalizer’s potential noncompliance would thus evoke the descriptive autoclitic enhancement to the original version of the mand.

Note that an inattentive potential listener, in failing to respond, puts the speaker’s verbal behavior on extinction. The manifestation of the kind of autoclitic enhancement that is described above may then represent an extinction burst. A descriptive autoclitic such as I’m telling you to... will often occur as an exaggerated spurt, ...a somewhat frenetic style that characterizes extinction bursts.

Functionally, in addition to simply indicating that a mand is in progress, those enhancements may also increase the potential threat that is implicit in the mand. Such an autoclitically increased threat tends to evoke more strongly the listener’s negatively reinforced escape behavior that the simple original mand had been too weak to produce. The speaker will be reinforced if the listener’s escape behavior represents some form of compliance. If the mediator’s compliance behavior is still not evoked, an additional mand of the mediator’s attending behavior may emerge antecedently as a preceding statement or clause (e.g., Now look here, I’m telling you to pass that book).

While some descriptive autocritics describe the kind of behavior that is in progress (as in the previous example), other descriptive autocritics specify the nature of the stimuli that are evoking the primary verbal behavior in a statement. Consider this statement: The paper says that the parade is starting now. It includes a descriptive autoclitic phrase (The paper says that...) that indicates to the listener that the primary verbal behavior (...the parade is starting now) is occurring under textual control of a newspaper.

That distinction could be important to a listener. If the statement “the parade is starting now” is assumed to have been evoked by the approaching lead elements of the procession, then the listener may respond with looking behavior that would prove futile if the statement was controlled by text while the lead elements were not yet visible. Consider another example. Suppose that, in general, the newspaper is a more reliable source than the speaker. Then, with the addition of such an autoclitic enhancement to the speaker’s statement (i.e., The paper says that...), the listener would tend to exhibit a greater measure of behavior in the class described as “preparing to view an actual parade.” In common parlance, it may be said that the listener takes more seriously what the newspaper reveals about the starting time than what the vocalizer may say about it independently.

A mediator may have no independent means to confirm the nature of the evocative stimuli on the vocalizer’s basic statement, and, in that case, must rely exclusively on the vocalizer’s included autocritics for a description of those antecedent controls. As earlier examples have revealed, without such help, the unenhanced statement, The parade is starting now, could be misconstrued by the listener as a tact of the approaching lead unit in the parade. Perhaps, instead, the verbalizer was merely passing along the vocal report of a third party, in which case the statement was merely echoic. The autoclitic enhancements null these possibilities for the listener (e.g., I can see that the parade is starting now, People are saying that the parade is starting now, or A radio announcer is reporting that the parade is starting now).

Such autoclitic indicators of the functional antecedent stimuli that are controlling the speaker’s basic statement are often said “to inform the listener as to why the verbalizer is making the basic statement,” but that is the invalid language of personal agency. Such an autoclitic enhancement occurs naturally. It is jointly evoked by (a) the controlling relation between the environment and the basic statement and (b) the presence of some aspect of the audience. Given the ongoing evocation of the basic statement, some aspect of the listener serves as an
elemental stimulus that, if present, evokes the autoclitic addition to the basic statement. This is, something about the particular listener or that listener’s behavior evokes the autoclitic enhancement of the basic statement.

Listeners originally play an important role in conditioning vocalizers to include such helpful autocitics, and audience members continue thereafter to maintain that linguistic practice among those who speak to them. In that role listeners function as mediators insofar as they conseque the verbal behavior of the speakers. For example, a verbalizer who normally tended to exhibit only plain statements such as The parade is starting now, may be manded by mediators to include a description of the evocative stimuli: How do you know? What do you mean by that? A minimal conditioning episode is concluded if, after the verbalizer repeats the statement with included autocitics that describe or imply the antecedent controls on that statement, a mediator then reinforces the vocalizer’s autoclitic enhancement of the basic statement.

During conversations such routine reinforcers provided by mediators are, in general, economically minimal and may consist of a tersely uttered “thanks” or a quick nod of acknowledgment, perhaps with a faint smile. Typically, reiterations of such conditioning episodes are required to establish the reliable appearance of such autocitics in the vocalizer’s similar statements on future occasions.

The autoclitic I remember that… informs the mediator that the original evocative stimulus is no longer present and that the remainder of the statement is being evoked instead by private verbal events. Such a distinction often has important implications. For instance, suppose that a potential speaker and a potential mediator are searching for John’s house. The mediator, who is not currently in contact with John’s house, hears the speaker say I remember that John’s house is painted light blue. With the autoclitic supplement added to the basic statement, the mediator may not behave at once as if John’s house is close enough to the vocalizer to be controlling the basic statement about its color in some direct way. Absent the autoclitic I remember that…, the mediator may respond to the statement John’s house is painted light blue by acting as if the verbalizer can already see John’s house and is reporting that it has been painted light blue—a pattern of behavior that would be inappropriate insofar as the verbalizer is not in contact with that house nor may such contact be imminent.

Another subclass of descriptive autocitics is made possible because speakers can respond to the strength of the controls on their primary utterances. The verbalizer may tact an approaching object in the sky by saying It’s a plane. However, such an unenhanced report affords an isolated mediator very limited evidence of its validity. A mediator may be unprepared to respond with effective or appropriate action in the absence of some indication of the evocative strength that the putative object exerted on the vocalizer’s report. Verbal communities therefore condition their members to include autoclitic responses to the strength of the controls on their own primary utterances. Such autocitics are especially important in the verbal behavior of persons who are acting as lookouts.

Consider some examples pertinent to the possible approach of an airplane for which a speaker has been looking. Statements such as I guess it’s a plane, I imagine it’s a plane, I reckon it’s a plane, I suppose it’s a plane, I assume it’s a plane, all imply either that the primary verbal behavior has been insufficiently stimulated or that the vocalizer has not been sufficiently conditioned to respond effectively to a presentation that may evoke more resolute responses from other speakers. In the former case of a weak stimulus, the vocalizer may add that I need to see it better; in the latter case of poor preparation the vocalizer may explain that I’m not trained or experienced enough to be sure.

The statement, I hesitate to say it’s a plane, further implies to the mediator that the vocalizer has a history of punishment with respect to reports that later proved to be false. We may describe the vocalizer as cautious. I guess it’s a plane acknowledges the tentative nature of the stimulus controls on the tactic that identifies the object while hinting that the vocalizer nevertheless may be prepared to behave further as if that report is valid.

I swear it’s a plane, I assure you it’s a plane, and I guarantee it’s a plane, imply to the listener that the vocalizer will be reinforced by subsequent actions of the mediator that are relevant to the presence of a real plane. Such statements also imply to mediators (a) the availability of any reinforcers that are contingent on their plane-related behavior and (b) that any reactions to the speaker’s statement that are appropriate only in the presence of a real plane will not be subject to the kinds of punishment that tend to follow wasted or pointless behavior (i.e., it is safe to act as if a plane is really present).

The attachment of I admit…(I admit it’s a plane may indicate to the mediator that that particular autoclitic is being evoked by the vocalizer’s record of deception with respect to the current kind of stimulus presentation. The autoclitic antecedent part of the vocalizer’s statement is a response to certain conflicting contingencies: (a) contingencies to render a valid tact of the approaching object, and (b) contingencies to avoid doing so. Given strong contingencies to report, in the presence of indicators that the escape behavior of deception will be punished more severely than a valid tact, the plane will be reported, and the lesser punishment will thereby be incurred. However, the tact of the plane may be accompanied by descriptive autocitics that implicitly emphasize the vocalizer’s conflict (i.e., I admit…). Such an autoclitic supplement may be said to represent an appeal for sympathetic reactions from the mediator, who is receiving a potentially
valid report that the autoclitic implies is being provided by the vocalizer at some potential personal cost.  
I agree it's a plane, and I reply it's a plane include autoclitis that relate the statement to some earlier behavior by the mediator. First, consider the version that stresses agreement. I agree... implies that the vocalizer’s report has occurred partly under indirect control of some earlier verbal behavior by the mediator that was evoked by the same remote stimulus (i.e., an approaching plane) that is now in partial control of the speaker’s current statement. That autoclitic addition also indicates that the speaker’s report comports with that earlier report by the mediator.  
I reply,... implies that the vocalizer’s report has occurred partly under control of the listener’s earlier behavior that was relevant to the approaching object but which, from the speaker’s perspective, did not constitute its valid identification (i.e., did not include a valid tact). The autoclitic enhancement (I reply) may be interpreted as a correction of an earlier statement by the mediator, but the vocalizer’s means of doing that is simply to emphasize the strength of the environmental control of the vocalizer’s own tact.  
However, it is also possible that the vocalizer could instead be emphasizing the strength of the control being exerted by the plane following the listener’s earlier indication that a plane tact by the vocalizer will not evoke plane-related behavior from the listener. For example, in response to an initial report by the vocalizer, the mediator may have said that it is unlikely that an aircraft would be passing this way at this time, to which the vocalizer responds I reply, it’s a plane. Although the mediator previously has expressed doubts that a plane could be approaching, the verbalizer is nevertheless reporting the presence of a plane along with an autoclitic enhancement that implies to the mediator that, while the mediator’s reservations have had some kind of effect on the vocalizer, they did not significantly weaken the verbalizer’s tact of the plane. That implies a strongly evoked tact. The mediator may be conditioned to respond to other people’s environment—tact relations that withstand such countercontrols with behavior that would follow the mediator’s own environmentally evoked vision of a plane.7  
Some descriptive autoclitis indicate the current emotional state of the verbalizer: I am relieved to report that a plane is approaching, or I am distressed to report that a plane is approaching. Such autoclitis imply to the mediator how the antecedent environmental stimuli that control the tact have affected the verbalizer emotionally. One’s respondent emotional reactions, which are elicited automatically and may or may not comport with one’s operant responses to an environmental event, can nevertheless affect one’s subsequent behavior in ways that are important to one’s listeners. Verbal communities therefore condition their members to include autoclitis that reveal a speaker’s emotional reaction to the object of a statement.  
A listener is especially quick to probe for such indicators of emotional reaction when that listener’s history with the speaker implies that the speaker’s emotional state could affect important outcomes of the situation. Withholding indicators of one’s emotional state, including autoclitis that describe the verbalizer’s emotional reactions, is one of the most common forms of deception. A socially offended person may speak as if unaroused, while a poker player who has drawn a straight flush typically speaks in ways that conceal any emotional elation that such a rare and favorable draw usually elicits.  
When a potentially helpful descriptive autoclitic is absent from a verbalizer’s statement, members of the audience may ask How did that make you feel? and then re-inforce the answer if it describes the speaker’s emotional state in a credible form and style. On future occasions, the description of the verbalizer’s personal emotional reaction is then more likely to be reported. The ever present contingencies of economy often favor its inclusion as a relatively brief autoclitic supplement that is woven into the syntax of the basic statement, as in the previous examples. Speakers who forego the economy of a simple autoclitic enhancement and instead follow an autoclitically unadorned basic statement with more lengthy descriptive statements about their concomitant emotional reactions may find that such overdrawn thematic extensions are soon punished as unnecessary redundancy (e.g., from an impatient member of the audience: “OK, so you didn’t like what was happening; get on with your report”).  
If the mediator has a history of emotional conditioning that is similar to that of the vocalizer, the mediator may respond to the object of the vocalizer’s report (in this example, an approaching plane) with a personal emotional arousal of the vocalizer’s kind. It may then be said that the mediator shares the feelings of the vocalizer with respect to the reported approach of the plane. More generally, such shared emotional reactions across numerous occasions may constitute the basis of what is often informally described as the bonding of kindred spirits.  
Let us return to the variations in the antecedent autoclitis that were used to introduce our continuing example featuring a tact of an approaching plane. We can analyze the effect on the behavior of a listener of the respective autoclitic variations: Given the statement I am relieved to report that a plane is approaching, the operant responses of the mediator may anticipatively relate to a verbalizer who will be acting to strengthen contacts with the plane. On the other hand, given the statement I am distressed to report that a plane is approaching, the mediator’s operant responses may anticipate that the verbalizer will act in ways that diminish whatever implicit threat is represented by that aircraft.
Here, as always, the autoclitic enhancements tend to enable the mediator to respond more effectively to the primary statement (i.e., …a plane is approaching). Descriptive autoclitics are so important to listeners that their general inclusion may get a special kind of additional strengthening as part of the general education of citizens with respect to proper social behavior within the culture.

For example, in addition to the natural evocative controls on descriptive autoclitics, members of a culture may be taught, as part of their general socialization, to include such autoclitics as a matter of conversational politeness. Thus, the descriptive autoclitic may occur under controls that are supplemented with the special increment of strength that gives impetus to the rule-following aspect of proper decorum. When initiating a conversation about a particular topic, especially with a mediator who does not know you well, it is often deemed proper that one begin with at least a partial description of the controls on what one is starting to say about that topic: According to yesterday's newspaper…, I hear by the grapevine…, I am reliably informed…, I just feel intuitively…, As I have seen with my own eyes…

From the perspective of the mediator, an appropriate response to what a verbalizer says is not only a function of what is said but also of why it is said. Thus, a speaker who withholds that kind of detail may be regarded variously as impolite, authoritative, insensitive, and perhaps even vaguely threatening.

When autoclitics are cast in the negative they usually indicate that the primary verbal behavior is canceled while implying that the statement is nevertheless strong for some reason. Consider the statement I am saying that there is no pen on the table. The primary verbal behavior is the statement there is …pen on the table. The phrase I am saying… is a kind of descriptive autoclitic that emphasizes that the statement is strongly controlled by the evidence. In this case the evidence may consist of factors that define the thoroughness of a previous search of the table top while under contingencies to contact a pen visually. The negative autoclitic …no… suggests that that looking failed to result in a contact with the indicated reinforcer. Within the verbalizer's statement, tacts of a pen and of its relation to a table are formally spoken, but the inclusion of the autoclitic negation (i.e., …no…) indicates that those tact-like forms are not actually occurring under control of the stimuli that they describe.

In the above statement, the term that is a conjunction that introduces a subordinate clause that states a result. It is evoked by the initial pattern of the vocalizer's statement (i.e., by the phrase I am saying…). The conditioning of the evocative function for the conjunction that in such a context is often insufficient, with the result that that verbal event often fails to happen. Its failure to occur in I am saying [*] there is no pen on the table is widely accepted, although in strictly formal situations the omission of that may not be tolerated. The grammar editors of some journals routinely require careless authors to install such omitted conjunctions.

The controls on some descriptive autoclitics may consist of the subtle features of a delicate situation. Consider a statement that begins with It goes without saying that… Obviously, the vocalizer is under contingencies to say what follows. However, the mediator may tend to punish statements describing events of which that mediator should already be aware, especially if the vocalizer's statement implies that the vocalizer knows that the mediator is as yet uninformed. By starting the sentence with It goes without saying…, the implication that the mediator is uninformed is softened, and the statement is implicitly cast as a mere reminder of something that, of course, everyone (including the mediator) presumably knows already.

Here again, the inclusion of such a defensive autoclitic need not be explained as the work of a clever body-managing mental agent. It is a natural outcome of a long history of conditioning, largely informal, that has rendered discriminative certain subtle interpersonal features in social situations of that kind. Once the necessary conditioning has occurred, those subtle features will then evoke that kind of autoclitic as naturally, as directly, and as reliably as a certain kind of vertically upward and branching protrusion from a land surface evokes the tact tree.

**Autoclitics that Function as Mands**

Some autoclitics affect the behavior of the mediator in multiple ways, one of which is similar to the effect of a mand. Consider sentences that begin with colloquial autoclitics such as Dig this… or Check out this…, and conclude with a plain or supplemented tact. Those autoclitics at the beginning of a statement affect the mediator in somewhat the same way as the mand Look at this…, and they are classed as such (i.e., the autoclitic mand).

However, their autoclitic classification results from their further controlling effect on the mediator. That is, they do more than merely evoke the mediator's attending behaviors (if that was all that they did, they would be simple mands without autoclitic implications). However, in addition to manding the mediator's looking behavior, they also emphasize that the stimulus to be contacted visually by the mediator will be a reinforcer of the listener's looking response. The autoclitic aspect of such a mand is the implicit prediction that the manded behavior will be followed by reinforcing consequences for the mediator. When the mediator's manded looking behavior starts to occur, but before visual contact is established by the tacted event, that precontact looking behavior may exhibit special properties that people describe as looking with pleasurable anticipation. The autoclitic nature of the opening phrases Dig this… or Check out this… is thereby revealed.
Here is another kind of autoclitic mand: A sentence may present a listing that logically could continue indefinitely in an unreinforcing way. That listing may then be truncated with the concluding autoclitic *ad nauseam*. This ending acknowledges the unreinforcing nature of the verbal behavior that is in progress. Additionally, this autoclitic also has a manding effect on the listener insofar as it implicitly instructs the mediator to self-construct as much of the continuation as may be needed, if any, and then to react to it as if the vocalizer had actually bothered to say that much more of it.

**Qualifying Autoclitics**

Recall that descriptive autoclitics change the nature of the reaction of the mediator by bringing the mediator under stimulus control of (a) circumstances under which the autoclitic is uttered or (b) the condition of the vocalizer at the time of the autoclitic utterance. Various examples revealed that mediators typically exhibit a different kind of behavior as a result of such descriptive autoclitic enhancements of a vocalizer's statement, which is why speakers have been conditioned such that their statements tend to be enriched by autoclitic aspects.

While a descriptive autoclitic indicates something about the circumstances that control its manifestation, a qualifying autoclitic changes the strength with which a verbal stimulus affects the mediator. The effect on the mediator is not a change in the kind of reaction but rather a change either to its probability or to the degree to which that reaction manifests. A qualifying autoclitic may shift the evocative strength of the speaker's statement below or above the listener's minimal threshold for responding. In that case, either a particular response that otherwise would have occurred does not occur, or a particular response that otherwise would not have occurred, does occur. Alternatively, if the behavior of the mediator can vary in intensity, a qualifying autoclitic in the verbalizer's statement may result in weaker or stronger forms of the mediator's reaction.

For example, consider negation. Suppose that you are selling tickets to a charity event, and are looking for potential buyers. You are walking toward a room that is occasionally crowded with the kind of people who are likely purchase such tickets. As you walk toward the door to that room, a companion, who gets to that door before you arrive, looks back toward you and says *These people are not potential customers*. The qualifying autoclitic *not*... may entirely negate the behavior–controlling effect of the room doorway on your walking behavior. Your walking–toward–the–door behavior then stops. Note that, in such cases, the behavior either happens or does not happen depending on the presence or absence of the qualifying autoclitic *not*... a term that could be said to disqualify that room as a ticket–selling venue.

Another among the common kinds of qualifying autoclitic indicates to the mediator that a vocalizer's tact is in some way extended. Thus the mediator is prepared by the qualifying autoclitic in the vocalizer's statement for an unusual instance of contact. In the statement *This actor gives an approximate portrayal of the character*, the qualifying autoclitic *approximate* indicates to the mediator that the affectations of the actor may closely resemble but are not indistinguishable from the behavioral patterns of the person being portrayed. In the statement *Joe's version of the story is somewhat like a fairy tale* the term *like* indicates to the mediator that the tact of the first person's story (that version) is being described metaphorically, while the term *somewhat* indicates that the supporting relations for the metaphor are to some extent limited or imperfect.

**Quantifying Autoclitics**

Consider again the previous ticket selling example. Suppose that, instead of disqualifying the people in that room as customers, the vocalizer had said *one potential customer is in this room*. In the case of that assertion, the effect on your approach behavior may be a decrease in its intensity. Nevertheless, the evocative capacity of that statement may be a little greater than that of *no potential customer is in this room*, which completely reduces the evocative strength of the doorway. Whereas, prior to that report by your companion, you were walking at a normal pace toward the door into that room, after that report, you may continue more slowly. Your behavior is unchanged in form and direction, but the quantifying autoclitic *one...* has somewhat decreased the evocative capacity of the vocalizer's tact *customer*. Had the vocalizer instead said *Thirty potential customers are in this room*, your pace might have increased. These potential variations all affect the intensity of the same behavior (in this example, your vectored walking), and the particular quantifying autoclitic in your friend's report determines your walking speed on an increasing scale that begins with zero.8

*No, some, and all* are quantifying autoclitics when they apply to sentences that feature tacts. Suppose that a person who has contacted automobiles says either *No automobiles are blue, Some automobiles are blue*, or *All automobiles are blue*. If the person is speaking on the basis of personal contacts with automobiles, no person could have contacted all existing automobiles, so the vocalizer's personal experience could have prepared that person to state validly only the *Some...* version.

The *All...* version of the statement usually represents an extension of the description of the class to include not only the class members that have been contacted, but all other members as well. A person who has been raised on an island on which all vehicles must, according to enforced law, be painted blue may say *all automobiles are blue* in the same way that a person may say that *all pen-
Denties are bronze colored.” However, beyond the personal experience of both of those speakers, some automobiles of other colors could exist just as a small number of pennies are silver–gray in color.

The quantifying autoclitics no and all affect the mediator by indicating the vocalizer’s inference as to the completeness with which the set of all class members controls the remainder of the sentence. The class is defined by the generalized tact (e.g., trees, automobiles, pennies, etc.). By saying no automobiles are painted yellow the vocalizer is implicitly responding under the control of a stimulus that consists of a complete set... namely, the set that is composed of the surface colors of every automobile. A typical mediator responds not only to the statement per se, but also to the relevant historical events in the verbalizer’s past. Has the verbalizer had an opportunity to contact all automobiles? Perhaps the speaker has merely contacted legislation or policy that forbids yellow automobiles?

The vocalizer whose statement refers to all automobiles may render the otherwise overextended statement valid by the further addition of a descriptive autoclitic that limits the set to members that fall within the vocalizer’s personal experience. For instance, the verbalizer, who has contacted all automobiles on his or her small home island, may say all automobiles on this island are blue. The mediator can then respond differently and perhaps more appropriately as a result of the vocalizer’s autoclitic indication that the set of automobiles that is in control of that statement consists of only those automobiles that are on the vocalizer’s small home island, all of which the speaker has probably contacted. In this example, a descriptive autoclitic (...on this island...) modifies the effect on the mediator of a quantifying autoclitic (all...).

Next, let us consider a vocalizer who may say either pen, a pen, or the pen as a tact. These various forms do not occur under identical sets of evocative controls, but all three forms affect the mediator by respectively indicating singularity. Pen indicates singularity by grammatical inflection alone in response to the property of singularity, while the a and the do so as minimal tacts of that same property. Quantitatively, only one pen is at issue in the sense that only one pen is controlling the vocalizer’s responses, each form of which pertains to that pen.

Pen, as a raw tact, may be evoked following an episode during which the various definitive properties of a particular pen acquire behavioral control differentially until finally the accumulating set of behavior–controlling properties is sufficient to evoke the tact pen. We refer to that sequence of events as the process of identifying and to the final step (featuring the emergence of the tact pen) as the identification.

It is the a and the when functioning as quantifying autoclitics that are of interest here. As previously noted both are minimal tacts of the property of singularity, but in addition, they also have autoclitic functions. If the a occurs in a mand that specifies a pen, it indicates that any pen will suffice, while the the indicates to a mediator that only a specific pen will suffice. If occurring in association with tacts—for example, a pen is on the table—the a indicates that the stimulus being tacted possesses the complete set of definitive properties for a pen, without regard to any other properties that it may possess. In contrast, the pen is on the table, indicates further that the stimulus being tacted also possesses additional unique properties that were present during previous contacts with a particular pen. On any occasion of contact with the minimal definitive set of properties for members of the pen class, if occurring along with an additional particular unique set of properties, a tact of the class (i.e., pen) may be preceded by the. On the basis of its additional particular unique set of properties, only one specific member of the set of all pens is in control of the statement.

Thus, while both the a and the the are minimal tacts of the property of singularity, they each also have a particular autoclitic function with respect to a mediator. We say a pen under stimulus control of the minimal definitive set of properties, and we say the pen when certain additional unique properties that are of historical importance also share in the control of the statement. Those two variations have different effects on a mediator, whose reactions differ according to the nature of the controls on the verbalizer’s tact (viz., pen). In their autoclitic roles the a and the indicate some special features of those controls. Such distinctions suggest the theme of the following subsection.

The Autoclitic Functions of Grammar and Syntax

Grammatical and syntactic variations arise under two main classes of control. One pertains to the effects on the mediator of what is being said, and the other pertains to that which is being addressed. That is, the environment provides things to talk about, but the audience may determine how one does so, especially as the effect of the statements on the mediator’s behavior becomes increasingly important to the verbalizer. Therefore, various audiences tend to evoke differing autoclitic enhancements of respective tacts of the same environmental event. In more general terms, we tend not to talk to different people about something in exactly the same way, even though we may be under contingencies to promote a common ultimate reaction among them.

For instance, suppose that an adult verbalizer is standing beside a highway, and reports an approaching car to an adult companion who is awaiting the arrival a friend who will be driving a Ford automobile. The verbalizer may say Here comes a Ford or simply Ford! However, to a child who is also awaiting the arrival of a friend driving a Ford but who, as a child, is readily distracted by nontraffic events in the ambient environment, the same
vocalizer may say *Now, this one is a Ford.* The autoclitic *now* evokes the child’s attending behaviors and is equivalent to the mand *Pay attention, because…* Such a focal autoclitic mand is often unnecessary with an adult listener who may remain under tighter control by the business at hand. The phrase …*this one…* functions autoclitically to evoke comparative reactions that feature the approaching car with respect to reconsideration of other cars previously contacted.

When the verbalizer announces the approaching car to the child along with this set of special autoclitic enhancements, the complete statement then evokes from the child the kind of attending reactions to the car that facilitate the child’s contact with the reinforcers implicit in the initial situational contingency. In contrast, the report of the approaching Ford to an adult who is also awaiting the arrival of a Ford–driving friend would typically feature different autoclitic enhancements to which that person exhibits a different series of responses than did the child to its version of the report. The child’s control by irrelevant stimuli first had to be disengaged, whereas the focus of the adult’s ongoing and relevant attending behaviors required only a modicum of sharpening.

Differences in the autoclitic enhancements of the same tact will also control the behavior of a third–party observer in different ways. For example, an independent observer of those two versions of the report pertaining to the approaching Ford would probably describe those two reports differently, saying, perhaps, that in one case the speaker was both *teaching* the listener (to stay focused on the business at hand) as well as informing the child about the approaching car, and in the other case was merely *informing* the adult listener (of the approaching Ford).

The observer’s discriminative description of the original verbalizer’s activity in terms of either *teaching* or *informing* is a minimal tact by the observer of the kind of contingencies under which an original speaker’s statement about the approaching Ford was emerging. Recall that the verbalizer’s statement about the car was in each case controlled by both the audience and the car. This teaching–informing distinction by the observer is maintained by properties that inhere in the share of the control of the primary verbalizer’s respective statements that was being exerted by the audience (child or adult) as opposed to the part of that control that was being exerted by the approaching car.

Now let us consider grammar. The grammatical aspects of a statement often manifest in the form of word fragments that play interesting functional roles and thus attract analytical attention. In the statement *The horse trots*, consider the function of the final *s* in *trots*. First, that final *s* is a fragmentary tact evoked in some shared way by certain properties of the behavior of the horse, which include (a) trotting as a process rather than an entity, (b) the singularity of the horse that is trotting, and (c) the currency of the activity (the trotting of the horse coincides with the original manifestation of the statement).

Additionally, however, that same final *s* also has a couple of autoclitic functions, which manifest as effects on the behavior of the mediator. First, that final …*s* indicates assertion by its original author. If that author is the present vocalizer, that *s* indicates to the mediator that the vocalizer’s primary statement is a tact of the current behavior of the horse. In this sense the final *s* in *The horse trots* renders the statement functionally equivalent to *The horse is trotting*. The implication is that the mediator will then be reinforced upon reacting in ways that comport with the actual presence of a trotting horse. If, on the other hand, the vocalizer is reading from a text, the property of assertion is attributed to the verbal behavior of the author of the text, not to the current reader. In that case the mediator may behave as if the author had originally made some kind of contact with a trotting horse—a kind of reaction that depends on whether the mediator is also affected by other properties of the text that are characteristic of history as opposed to fiction.

The final …*s* on *trots* must thus share this assertive autoclitic function with certain contextual variables that pertain to the controls on the vocal behavior of the speaker (e.g., actual horse vs. textual stimuli,… and in the later case, indicators of an historical account vs. indicators of a fictional account). The difference in the potential functions of the final …*s* that is attached to the word *trots* manifests as differences in the person who affects the mediator as having contacted the horse (the vocalizer per se, or the original author of the text that the vocalizer is reading). That is, having contacted the vocal report, which has the audible form *The horse trots*, who the mediator then treats as the person that contacted the horse is determined by what controlled that vocal report (e.g., horse or text).

The second autoclitic function of the final …*s* pertains to the mediator’s reaction to the linguistic property that it represents. The final …*s* on *trots*, along with the other linguistic features of the verbalizer’s product, indicates that a coherent statement has been made in accordance with the conditioning practices of an English speaking verbal community. The mediator can then respond to the entire verbal utterance as a complete statement, and may then do so in whatever ways its various features will control. Had the vocalizer instead said *The horse trot*, some important kinds of responding by the mediator would have been precluded. People may say simply that *it’s not English*. That final *s* makes it so and thus serves as a kind of function–altering stimulus, the presence of which allows for the full range of responding that has been described in this section in connection with the English statement *The horse trots*.

Because that final *s* indicates a complete sentence by indicating agreement in number between the verb and the
noun (*horse* and *trot* are both singular forms), that ...*s* is classed, in that sense, as a *relational* autoclitic. Its suffixal attachment to the verb relates the verb to the noun so that they are not interpreted by the mediator as two thus—far unrelated verbal elements of what would, in that case, have to be an incomplete statement (e.g., *The horse... trot...*).

A mediator has no effective response to those elements until they fall into place in a more complete statement such as *The horse, trot as best it could, failed to impress the persnickety judges.* Note that this statement structurally dissociates that unmatched noun and verb by providing *horse* with the new singular verb *failed*. The old plural verb *trot* is relegated to an isolated verbal phrase, which here, functioning in its entirety as an adjective that modifies *horse*, need not have its verb *trot* match *horse* numerically.

As the functional analysis of that single letter ...*s* in the original sentence proceeds, it becomes increasingly clear that such a final ...*s* can carry a rather heavy functional load for a mere single—letter word fragment. What is now construed to be a proper placement of such an autoclitic *s* in sentences that describe trotting horses has been predetermined by the effects of that *s* on past mediators. Those are the kinds of effects that have shared in driving the historical evolution of the language being spoken. The consequences that such grammatical features have provided to verbalizers have conditioned them to arrange the *s* in certain ways. That is, the current conventions for the placement of such an autoclitic ...*s* have been determined by the consequating effects that such placements have had in the past on mediators within the historical verbal community.

The grammatical process known as predication involves the addition of an autoclitic of assertion to a relational autoclitic. Consider the verbal elements *tall* and *man*. A well conditioned verbalizer in an English speaking community, tacting a man who is distinguished by extreme body height, may respond verbally by saying *tall man* (as opposed to *man tall*). The autoclitic functional capacity of a relational autoclitic inheres in the order of certain verbal elements rather than their intrinsic characteristics.

In the current example, the order of the elements is a relational autoclitic appropriate to ordinary English conversation. The straightforward form (*tall man*) is perhaps characteristic of an utterance from which rhetorical emphasis is largely absent. The reverse order, (*man, tall*), emphasizes the place of this man in a categorization scheme, and as a mand would be useful to a mediator who was under a contingency to respond by producing a tall man selected from a holding area in which men and women of various heights were confined. 10 If, in addition to the relational autoclitic (*tall* followed by *man*) along with the autoclitic of specificity (*she*), the assertive autoclitic *is* is also evoked (i.e., *the man is tall*), the predication with respect to the noun *man* is completed.

**Issues in Language Evolution**

Observers may incorrectly attribute the form of a statement to something called the *intention* of the verbalizer, but fictional constructs called *intentions* do not determine the particular structure of sentences. Only current environments do that, and they must share properties with environments that in the past evoked similar verbal behavior that was reinforced.

It was those past episodes of operant conditioning that rendered certain parts of the body capable of now responding with specific kinds of verbal responses to specific kinds of environmental stimuli. As in all functions, given certain changes in the independent variable, certain changes inevitably follow in the dependent variable, and, in the case of environment–behavior functional relations, nothing called an intention plays any role. Explanatory recourse to intentions suggests an ignorance of the nature of nature and relies on a spirit of the self–type that putatively activates body parts through the exercise of a mysterious will–power. Such a scheme of accounting illustrates the compounding of a basic fallacy that usually becomes necessary to make the implications of that fallacy seem rational.

People respect the current conventions of grammar and syntax, because those forms were once selected by their thematic consequences. Subsequently the surviving forms were described as correct, and prescribed according to rules. Thereafter, people may refer informally to the resulting structure of a person’s statement as “the speaker saying it right.” The current circumstances (antecedent stimuli) evoke a particular form of a statement that in the past has yielded reinforcers provided by the current mediator or from mediators in general, both contemporary and historical.

However, after a grammatical or syntactical form that originally was selected for its theme–related effect on a mediator has been declared correct and its control shifted to rule governance, it can begin to be maintained somewhat independent of its thematic effect on a mediator who may consequate statements largely according to rules. Subsequently, the surviving form is no longer reinforced but fictional constructs called *intentions* do not determine the particular structure of sentences. Only current environments do that, and they must share properties with environments that in the past evoked similar verbal behavior that was reinforced.

A relevant issue is whether it is better to speak correctly or to speak effectively. The prevailing approach can become a distinguishing feature of a verbal subcommunity. As the natural contingencies, which favor effectiveness, force the continuing evolution of the language throughout the remainder of a verbal community, a subcommunity, whose language is functionally insulated by its strict rule governance, may retain correct but progressively less effective
forms of speaking. If such a distinction becomes a conditioned virtue within that subcommunity, within the larger community that subcommunity may come to be regarded as snobby, pretentious, or aloof.

However, in such circumstances a more subtle distinction becomes analytically appropriate. It pertains to the effectiveness of a speaker from such a rule-governed verbal subcommunity when speaking to a general community audience. It is one thing if such general effectiveness requires different linguistic forms and another thing if such effectiveness requires fewer forms. In the former case the speaker is merely antiquated, a condition that may be corrected by a substitution of forms. In the latter case the speaker is said to be more sophisticated than the audience. Insofar as formal behavioral distinctions define the intellect, the speaker whose audience forces the omission of formal properties from the speaker’s statements may be said to be “talking down” to the audience by “dumbing down” the rhetoric.

The sacrifice of formal distinctions (as opposed merely to the adoption of different ones) renders the language more primitive and the linguistic performance of the speaker less intelligent. The culture of a verbal species is advanced intellectually through expansion and conservatism with respect to the linguistic distinctions being respected while remaining somewhat flexible with respect to the linguistic forms by which those distinctions manifest. Examples that illustrate this issue often feature contrasts between the retention and omission of autoclitics. That is because, among the various kinds of functional relations that govern verbal behavior, the controls on autoclitic verbal behavior tend to be the most subtle, tenuous, and elusive.

**The Progressive Trend toward Functional Unity**

The operant conditioning process is characterized by a progressive economy of function, and that remains true during the conditioning of verbal behavior. However, early in the conditioning process, each element of a statement may occur under some degree of independent stimulus control. A beginner must often master the proper forms of statements one verbal element at a time. The form and order of each element is determined by its relations to the other elements. The functional independence that to some extent characterizes each verbal element supports a kind of detailed functional analyses through which we attempt to determine the independent controls on each verbal element in a statement.

However, as the language skills of a person mature, larger and larger sequences of verbal elements tend to come under the unitary control of specific stimulus elements. As a first step, a sequence of syllables may be uttered under unified control as a single complete word. Later, a sequence of two or more words, perhaps several words, may be uttered as a unitary production that is functionally evoked by a single stimulus. After a sequence of separately controlled verbal elements has come under the control of a single stimulus and thereafter is uttered as a unit, we then say that such a sequence has acquired functional unity.

For example, consider a person who tends to end every assertion or conclusion with the clause **…you know what I mean**. That clause is approximately equivalent to the rhetorical question **Is that not right?** Functionally, it mildly mands some indication of agreement from the mediator. The clause **…you know what I mean** is uttered as a unit under stimulus control of certain features of the preceding statement. Any attempt to analyze the functional controls on each element within that utterance would be idle once that clause is occurring under its acquired functional unity. Thus, such a combination of what earlier had been separately controlled verbal elements represents a functional consolidation that renders pointless the conduct of a more detailed analysis.

Prior to that functional unification, its elements probably did manifest through discernibly independent functions—a phase in the verbalizer’s conditioning history that may be described as “learning to say it correctly.” During that learning phase, the verbalizer may be described agentially as “being careful to express it in correct English,” although each element is simply manifesting inevitably under its own kind of evocative control. After its functional unification, the clause (…**you know what I mean**) manifests automatically as an appended functional unit following any statement that has the critical characteristics that then evoke that clause as a unit. Its manifestations also tend to be characterized by an increased fluency and speed.

Audience members may be sensitive to such transitions in the control of an appendage like **…you know what I mean**. For instance, when they describe such an appendage as a cliché, they are responding to the functional unity of the control of its utterance. That is, although it is uttered and heard as a string of words, an audience member, in calling it a cliché, is responding to its functional control as a unit.

Such functional unification can also occur to sequences that play a more important role in the control of a mediator’s responding. For example, the lookout whose job is to detect and warn of approaching aircraft, may routinely respond to such an approaching plane with a standard statement **I’ve got one!**, a well conditioned utterance that occurs as a functional unit. It is equivalent to the lookout’s exclaiming **Bingo**! Such unification of control represents a progressive natural economy that, increasingly, is enjoyed by maturing verbalizers.

Economy of that kind is realized at the physiological level. Behavior is not physiologically free. It costs energy, and each independent behavior—determining function that is discerned at the behaviorological level of analysis
has its physiological basis within the nervous system of the behaving organism. The unitary control of a multiple-element behavior consumes less energy than when those same elements manifest in the same sequence under respective independent controls.

To the behaving organism the production of the unitarily controlled version usually feels easier. The person whose speech is characterized by strings of clichés tends to be regarded by others as a lazy speaker. The person’s easily evoked clichés often substitute for statements the elements of which would require a variety of relatively independent and energy consuming controls, some perhaps complex. A readily evoked unitary cliché can also substitute for a statement that would emerge only as the final step in what is described as a difficult sequence of thought. In that case, the speaker may be accused of “spouting a cliché” instead of rendering a considered opinion.

Composition: Its Nature and Occurrence

Autoclitic verbal behavior plays an important role in linguistic composition. We should note that although the composition of linguistic productions is a popular concept, there is no composer, no doer of such organization,… no force or agent that proactively puts sounds or symbols together in a proper sequence. The insatiable editor who churns out a stream of carefully arranged versions is merely behaving in ways that insure contact with the widest possible range of relevant controlling variables. Nor is that preliminary conceptual posturing a product of an editorial self-agent. It is simply how an editor’s body reacts to the environmental circumstances of an occasion to edit,… a body that, because of the structure imparted during its conditioning history, now reacts behaviorally in that automatic way when presented with the stimuli that define such an occasion. “We” do not compose, because we do not exist as agents that can do such things. Composition happens, but that is because of natural functional relations that automatically become established between bodies and their environments.

That said, let us now consider a verbalizer and a mediator when only the verbalizer is confronting certain environmental events. Suppose that the verbalizer may potentially contact reinforcers through certain actions of the mediator with respect to those events. However, in this case the mediator cannot react directly to those environmental events and, therefore, is not yet behaving in a way that is reinforcing to the verbalizer. However, the mediator may be stimulated to do so by a statement produced by the verbalizer that occurs under partial stimulus control of those events.

Thus, such a situation represents a kind of opportunity for the verbalizer. The verbalizer’s reinforcers inhere in the mediator’s potential behavior or in its environmental effects—that is, either in how the mediator reacts or in what the mediator’s reaction accomplishes. Thus, in such situations, verbalizers can insure access to their own reinforcers by speaking in a way that will stimulate a mediator to exhibit some potentially reinforcing action with respect to events that only the verbalizer is contacting directly.

Let us consider a specific example. Suppose that a searcher is under contingencies to locate and acquire a lost one-dollar coin and has enlisted the assistance of a helper in the search. Eventually the searcher observes the coin resting in a location that he or she cannot reach but which can be reached by the helper who has not yet noticed the coin. The searcher, as verbalizer, may utter only the raw tact Coin!

At issue is whether or not that utterance is sufficient to stimulate the helper to behave in a way that will reinforce the verbalizer’s utterance by bringing the verbalizer closer to a more substantial contact with the main reinforcer (i.e., the coin). If, given that utterance, the helper, as a potential mediator, does not discover and retrieve the coin, the situation may evoke a supplementary focal autoclitic by the verbalizer: Coin, there!, perhaps accompanied by a nonvocal nod or finger point, or perhaps the addition of another focal autoclitic, …beside that tree. A further step would involve the addition of an assertive autoclitic (viz., is): Coin is there…. If it is somehow unclear which coin is being described by the verbalizer, evidence of that confusion would perhaps evoke the designative autoclitic the: The coin is there beside the tree.

The individual words, being familiar to the mediator, evoke certain kinds of verbal responses that comport with the language shared by the speaker and listener. Here it is English, and the mediator responds in accordance with prior conditioning pertinent to the syntax of English sentences. This sequential autoclitic property of such statements is so compelling that a listener will usually respond to any presented sequence of those terms as if they had been cast syntactically.

For example, consider their utterance in reverse order, perhaps enhanced with a few inflectional autoclitic properties: “Tree the beside! There is coin the.” Clearly, the speaker, whose access to a particular coin has been threatened by a besiduous creature, is urging that this particular beside be gotten out of the way by running it up a tree. The removal of that troublesome beside will then clear the way to the coin that is designated as the. The implication is that the individual coins in a particular series of coins have received respective word–type designations, perhaps corresponding to the words in a familiar quotation such as Once more,… into the breach! The verbalizer will have an opportunity to acquire the fourth coin in that series of five coins (i.e., the the coin) as soon as the obstructive beside can be treed.

Once the listener “knows” that the statement is in English, it will be comprehended as such, but as we have seen, that knowing is but the listener’s automatically
evoked response to a particular autoclitic feature of the speaker's statement. That is, the words were all from the set of words that is known as English. The listener's response to the statement, a neural response of the comprehension kind, then occurred in accordance with English syntax. If that responding amounted to the conjuring of a new and entirely fictitious creature, so be it.

As we account for the evocation of each element of a statement as well as for its sequencing, the redundancy of a proactive composer becomes increasingly obvious. The statement happens, but its formal properties are inevitable products of relations between environmental and bodily structures.

Autoclitic enhancements occur because, in the past, they have more precisely controlled the behavior of mediators than have unenhanced statements. Therefore, how many of the previously discussed autoclitic supplements a verbalizer's statement would have to include to produce effective action by the mediator is determined by the manifestation of that action. In accordance with the grand economic scheme pertinent to all things, people tend to say only what is necessary to produce reinforcing reactions from a mediator. The complexity of speech is in reaction to the complexity of the responses that it must control for those combined or cumulative responses to be reinforcing to the speaker.

In such cases people commonly refer to the immediate complex neural reactions of the listener as “the listener's acquisition of sophisticated knowledge.” However, insofar as that sophisticated knowledge consists of functionally determined neural responses to ongoing environmental events, any sophistication is shared by two structures. One such structure is that of the stimulating environmental arrangement, which, as in the current example, may be manifesting as a speaker's verbal behavior. The other structure consists of the neural microstructural arrangements left in place as a result of the listener's conditioning history. As is often noted in vague allusion to these realities, instructors of advanced topics tend to find that the best prepared students are the most reinforcing to teach.

A verbalizer whose audience continues indefinitely to be controlled effectively by mere raw tacts and mands never learns to speak in more complete sentences. An approximation of this situation can occur when a foreign speaking newcomer arrives in a new country, having acquired only a few raw tacts and mands in the local language. If local speakers customarily react politely by carefully anticipating and exhibiting the responses apropos of more complete utterances, the foreigner's raw tacts may be amply reinforced, and that foreigner continues indefinitely to exhibit fragmented speech. That is why, in teaching situations, language instructors progressively depart from any prevailing codes of politeness that prescribe reinforcing responses to the raw tacts and mands of a culturally disadvantaged verbalizer. With increasing stringency such instructors withhold reinforcing responses until the speakers meet their demands for autoclitic supplements.

The number and complexity of autoclitic enhancements that is evident in common speaking suggests the plethora of mistakes in responding that a mediator could be making in their absence. The verbalizer's composition evolves in complexity as necessary to the establishment of the behavior-controlling functions between verbalizer and mediator—functions that, through the responses of the mediator, yield stimuli that consequate the speaker's immediately preceding verbal behavior.

Linguistic complexity is often asserted to originate with something called the intelligence of the verbalizer, but it is actually a reflection of the discriminative responding by the historical audiences to which the person's verbal behavior has been directed in the past. The features that render statements complex quickly extinguish when audiences fail to respond discriminatively to those features. Such subtractions from a person's exhibited verbal repertoire are usually evident when, for example, a mature literary laureate starts interacting verbally with the members of a kindergarten class. Complex and sophisticated speech can quickly be reduced to any specified approximation of childish talk if it comes under the control of an audience that is linguistically primitive to a corresponding degree.

To the extent that complex forms of speaking manifest reliably under given kinds of circumstances, those linguistic forms, if frequently evoked, may gain some functional unity as well as mere fluency. That is, when a well-conditioned vocalizer is presented with a multi-element situation, the corresponding elements of a responsive vocal statement may be evoked immediately without the kind of private verbal supplements that are often described as thinking about how something is being said. People may still regard the statement as having been composed, but the term compose pertains merely to the discriminative control exerted by specific environmental elements over corresponding elements of the statement. (It is the environment that does the composing.)

In that sense, composition does not occur at the initiative of the speaker, but merely happens as environmental elements acquire control over body parts that, through behavioral conditioning, have become neurally microstructured in ways that enable those functions to manifest. Complex verbal behavior is thus never proactively composed as a product of some sort of internal self-agent, but instead merely happens when its environmental controls are appropriately contacted. What people tend to call the behavioral activities of composition (i.e., composing) are merely behaviors that improve the exposure of the relevant parts of the body to the particular aspects of the environment so that the productive behavior-controlling functional relations can become established.
That process can be easy if common products are composed in a familiar mode. An example is the composition of an ordinary statement in one’s native language.

The difficulty that often characterizes a more creative composition process usually results from limited prior conditioning that has left the productive behavior susceptible only to tenuous and largely undescribed environment–behavior relations, perhaps featuring very specific although unspecified environmental stimuli. The necessary kind of contact with those stimuli may require special arrangements or circumstances that must be contrived precisely, although what must happen in that process cannot be described accurately in advance. Thus, the composer must often act in the absence of relevant prescriptions, so the activity of creative composing tends to be characterized by much probing behavior.

A statement such as Pete is peeling the wormy apple may not exhibit current composition in any sense, as, for instance, when that statement is being read from text. On the other hand, composition is said to be involved if the verbalizer is contacting an environment that features certain elements that include Pete, peeling behavior in progress, an apple, evidence of worms such as worm holes or worms per se, and an audience that serves as the function–altering stimulus that renders all of the other elements evocative of descriptive speech. The final verbal product depends on some initial contacts with environmental stimuli. Let us suppose that, in this case, they are Pete, apple, apple peel, knife, worm hole, and the behavior of peeling. The descriptive statement is constructed around some raw tacts, mainly Pete and apple. There is also a minimal tact of the reality of these four events (viz., it). We may also include the tact of a process (viz., peel… in the verb sense).

These raw elements may combine in various verbal ways that respectively affect the behavior of a mediator, and, in addition to their order, the options depend on which autoclitics become incorporated (e.g., Pete is peeling the wormy apple; The apple, although wormy, is being peeled by Pete; Pete peels the wormy apple). Two–sentence versions include Pete is peeling the apple. It is wormy. Let us consider the initial version (i.e., Pete is peeling the wormy apple) and analyze the autoclitic elements within that statement.

First, consider the autoclitic is. Its manifestation in the statement occurs under at least three different kinds of functional control, which are said to share in its production.

First, the …is… arises as a minimal tact of the reality of the events. That they are real as opposed to virtual is thereby asserted (i.e., as it is commonly expressed, a fact is being reported).

In addition to indicating reality, the …is… also implies currency. That indicator of currency is controlled by the minimal tact of the ongoing occurrence of these events. That is, the sequential steps or elements of the sensations (e.g., the private neural behaviors of awareness, recognition, etc.) are being evoked by discrete events in the external environment, as opposed, for example, to their occurrence through a private chaining process as when the events are only imaginary. Thus, while the is shares control by a temporal property of the events (i.e., their immediacy), the assertive is is under control of their general reality. In response to these autoclitic features of the statement, we can say, in common parlance, not only are the specified events happening now, they are also real events.

Thirdly, the autoclitic …is… is also controlled in part by the relative strength of the controls on the tact peel. For instance, if those functional stimulus controls are sufficiently weak, they will tend to evoke …may be… instead of …is… (i.e., Pete may be peeling a wormy apple). This particular autoclitic function of is becomes more clear if, when doubt is cast about the peeling behavior, the speaker replies Pete is definitely peeling that apple! This response alludes to the range and strength of the controls on the tact peel. In the original sentence the collective evocative strength of those various antecedent controls on peel contributed an additional evocative increment to the total evocation of the is.

The …ing, appended as a suffix to peel, is controlled by the process of removing the peel of the apple. The apple, its peel, and Pete are entities, but the scene is not a tableau in a wax museum. Something happens, and the autoclitic …ing indicates to the listener that the speaker’s statement is under the control of a process.

The control of the autoclitic …the… inheres in the history of this particular apple in relation to the parties to this verbal episode. Although the details of that history are unspecified, this is not any apple; this is the apple. That is, while the …the… indicates that this apple is distinguished from all other apples, the statement does not indicate why that distinction can and does now manifest.

The only relation may simply be the geometric relation between an apple and Pete’s hand (this is the apple that he is peeling). In addition, the speaker and perhaps the listener may have responded previously to events in the history of this particular apple, in which case the autoclitic the also alludes to the relation between the apple in hand and those previous events in which it was involved. In that case the the indicates to the listener not only that Pete is peeling the apple in hand but that that apple is the same apple that is of some historical significance. In that function the the is a minimal tact evoked by an abstract property of this apple (i.e., certain aspects of its history). This is not just any apple, this is the apple (e.g., the 700 year old apple that William Tell, using a bow and arrow, dislodged from atop the head of his son).

In the sentence Pete is peeling the wormy apple, worm does not manifest as a complete tact controlled by the characteristics of a specific worm but is instead a tactual
fragment that is completed by the addition of an autoclitic ...y. That is, the fragment worm... is a tact of a subset of characteristics that are associated with worms and their products, while the ...y. suffixed to worm... is an autoclitic of characterization that, in accordance with English syntax, functions to relate that set of worm–related characteristics to any tact that follows wormy, which in this statement is apple. The ...y... implicitly transfers a set of characteristics from worms in general to this particular apple—an important autoclitic function for a mere single–letter word–fragment. To determine “what the inclusion of this ...y... means,” we must observe the discriminative behavior of a mediator who is reacting to statements in which this autoclitic ...y... is respectively included and omitted.

As we have earlier noted, verbal composition can be a misleading phrase, because the verbalizer neither initiatively nor proactively does it (or anything else, for that matter). Rather, as with all behavioral products, the production merely happens under natural controls. That is, the functions in which composed verbal products appear as dependent variables are entirely natural relations. The naturally evoked behaviors of composition share in establishing those productive environment–behavior relations. The compositions that emerge have been made possible by what has usually been a long conditioning history that has put a wide variety of verbal utterances under the often subtle and respective control of a wide variety of often equally subtle environmental features. The naturally occurring behavior of the composition process brings those independent variables into contact with a body that has been conditioned (i.e., neurally micro–structured) to mediate the dependent behavioral products that are known as compositions.

The occasion for a verbal episode consists of the presentation of a set of those historically important environmental features to a verbalizer. Each of those various environmental features respectively controls an aspect of the verbal behavioral product, which then manifests with the forms and sequencing by which we distinguish the particular language of the verbalizer (i.e., English, Japanese, French, etc.). With the help of the supplementary autoclitics, members of the audience can respond with private knowing behavior to the environmental circumstances that have evoked that composition. That responding, which occurs naturally, is typically described as the listener’s understanding of what the speaker has said.

Given a fixed antecedent stimulus presentation, the level of complexity that the verbal product exhibits measures the richness of the conditioning history of the verbalizer but does not imply that a successful analysis must deviate from naturalism. The discreteness of the stimulus control that is exerted by various environmental features over the respective elements of the verbal product controls our description of the process as composition. In contrast, if the parts of a statement are not respectively controlled by discrete aspects of the environment, and the whole statement instead occurs in response to a single unified controlling stimulus, we may refer to that verbal product as a cliché, ... a label that indicates that the statement did not require composition. To say that a statement was composed implies that the respective elements of the statement in question were under discrete stimulus control thus necessitating what is said to be their individual selection and their grammatical and syntactical arrangement in the form of a linguistically proper statement.

If verbal behavior is to occur in response to the presentation of a set of environmental features, among those environmental features must be a mediator. If verbal responding occurs in the absence of a mediator, it is subject to extinction. A mediator serves in part as a function–altering stimulus. Absent a functional mediator, a potential verbalizer typically does not engage in public verbal behavior even when contacting environmental stimuli that would otherwise evoke such publicly exposed verbal reactions. In that sense, the mediator functions as an on–off switch for the evocative capacity of the environmental events about which the verbalizer has been prepared historically to speak.

The role of a functional mediator does not always require a second individual. An example is provided when one talks to oneself. The body parts that speak are not the same body parts that respond to the transmission, but both sets of parts are constituents of the same individual. In such cases the kind of functional loop that often involves a remote mediator is completed within the same individual.

It is necessary that lone vocalizers have been conditioned by a verbal community to assume the role of the mediator for their own verbal behaviors. A person who matured in total verbal isolation would not talk to self although being physiologically capable of speech. Similarly, a dog, if suddenly bestowed surgically with a complete physiological capacity to speak English, would not begin suddenly to do so. The role–defining behaviors of both vocalizers and their mediators are products of the conditioning that is provided by verbal communities.

The verbalizer’s history with mediators has prepared the verbalizer for the class of behavior that we call linguistic “composition,” and the verbalizer’s history with the present kind of mediator has prepared the verbalizer to exhibit the specific arrangement of the current statement. When mediators are involved, their role as such is to affect the environment on behalf of the verbalizers to whose speech they are reacting, and the term composition pertains to the verbalizer’s arrangement of the verbal stimuli that produce precisely those behavioral effects by a mediator. Note, however, that while the verbalizer’s statements each have a certain arrangement, those arrangements of linguistic elements do not occur because the verbalizer
has behaved proactively to produce sentences as if they were being composed creatively by a linguistic artist. That is, the verbalizer's statement does not possess its elemental forms and order of elements because the verbalizer is at that moment composing that statement to serve as an evocative stimulus for the mediator. Instead, the statement emerges with its grammatical and syntactic features because in the past those are the linguistic stimulus arrangements that have proven effective in the sense of having been reinforced by mediators, which thereby insures their higher probability on similar future occasions.

Most of what is true of the interactions between a verbalizer and a mediator hold for the relations between an author and a reader, at least to the extent that a textual product affects a reader in a manner similar to the effect of its corresponding audible version. However, text is an enduring product of the author's verbal behavior and makes possible an indefinite delay in consequating feedback from a future reader (which cannot occur until that person reads the text). Such a delay can quickly exceed the relatively short interval following a text—creating verbal response during which a potential reader—mediated consequence can exert an operant conditioning effect directly on the kind of behavior that created the text that was read. Operant conditioning requires rather immediate consequation for the authoring behavior of the writer who created the text that the mediator is reading.

Readers who read and respond to old text may still affect the author of that text if that author can still be contacted and thus remains capable of being affected by a reader. Technically, at this late date, the reader's current reactions consequate in an operant way not the author's original writing behavior but only the author's current behaviors of contacting the delayed feedback from the reader. If the current reactions of a reader are to have an effect on the author's writing behavior, that effect can manifest functionally not through the impossible operant consequation of ancient responses but through the arrangement of new antecedent stimuli for that author. Such newly arranged evocative antecedents include the current reactions of the reader to what was written long ago.

That is, in such a case, any effect on the author's future writing behavior must be accomplished through the incorporation of the reader's reactions into the evocative antecedents for the author's further writing. Those current reactions to what was written long ago must become part of the author's present or future writing environment. Observers, in retrospect, may say agentially that, in future writing, the author took into account the reactions of a reader to that author's earlier writings, but, more precisely, records of those reader reactions would have become part of the behavior—controlling environment in response to which the author proceeded to produce additional writing. Thus, a reader's reactions to text, although coming too late be part of an operant conditioning process relevant to the writing, may nevertheless become a part of the behavior—controlling circumstances under which that author's future writing occurs.

In this case, the author, upon completing the original writing, was not affected immediately by reader reactions. Thus, the author was not operantly conditioned by such reactions, which means that that earlier writing episode did not conclude with the neural microstructural changes to the author that result from the kind of extrinsically imposed reinforcement, punishment, or extinction procedures that readers can arrange for authors. However, if the author makes a much later contact with a reader's reaction, that reaction can function as an antecedent variable with respect to that author's future writing behavior. Those delayed reader reactions, having come too late to produce direct microstructural changes to the body of the author in the manner called operant conditioning, nevertheless share with other environmental aspects in exerting antecedent control on any impending writing behavior that that author—body may exhibit.

Thus, the delayed reader reaction that, being untimely, may fail in the role of operantly consequating stimulation and hence not result in the operant conditioning of the author—body, may yet affect that author's future writing in a different way—namely, by sharing in the antecedent functional control of that new writing. It is one thing when writing occurs with a body that was changed by operant conditioning during a previous writing episode and another thing when writing occurs again with the operantly unchanged body but under new circumstances that include some delayed effects of the earlier writing episode.

Current reader reactions to text that was written long ago may also have a respondent effect on the antecedent conditions that foster additional writing by the author. For instance, a favorable reaction may elicit an emotional arousal within the author that promotes more writing insofar as it reduces the evocative threshold of environmental stimuli that evoke writing behaviors. As this is often described, a person who becomes excited about writing as a result of encouraging reactions to earlier products will engage in new writing more readily than if unaroused.

Apart from how writing affects authors by way of remote readers, when an author writes, that author is typically the first and usually immediate reader. Textual stimuli that are contacted by their author most directly consequate the behavior of reading, but they also consequate any historically recent behavior of writing and do so in proportion to the recency. The fact that the reader has just written the text that is being read is irrelevant to the reinforcement function. Authors, upon immediately reviewing what they have just written, in many cases may be described as being pleased with it.
It has often been said agentially that authors write to amuse themselves, that they themselves are their most appreciative readers, and that they write to probe for any reinforcing things they may have to say. When the author is also the immediate reader, the cybernetic loop is at its tightest, because the reinforcing feedback occurs with maximal immediacy and directness. Because the author is usually the first and most immediate reader, the sharpest consequences of textual composition thus tend to inhere in the author’s own reactions, and they usually occur with sufficient timeliness to have operant effects on the author’s subsequent writing behavior, perhaps with a correspondingly produced emotional boost. This accounts for the fact that writers tend to compose products that are reinforcing for them to read, in some cases regardless of how those texts may affect other readers.

Autoclitic Verb Inflections: The Subtlety of their Natural Controls

A person’s verbal behavior has many characteristics that can evoke that individual’s own further discriminative verbal behavior. For example, we have discussed how people respond overtly to the covert nature of their private verbal behavior (e.g., an audibly vocalized statement I am thinking about you). In addition, people often respond to characteristics of the functional relations that control their behaviors, verbal or nonverbal. For instance, the currency of their behavior may evoke I am running. They may also respond both to the historical nature of their behavior (I ran yesterday) and to the environmental factors that determine the probability of their future behavior (if flames erupt, I will run).

In the case of a statement that is rendered in the present tense, the identification of the evocative stimuli by a listener typically presents relatively few analytical problems. For instance, when another person says There’s a car, the generic tact car specifies the potential reinforcer for the listener. The car, as a behaved environmental construct, is said to be the stimulus that evoked the tact car. However, consideration of the car aside, it is in response to the phrase There is… that some looking behavior by the listener now occurs. The listener looks around in ways that, in the past, have resulted in car–seeing responses, and often, as a result of looking, a listener experiences the neural behavior that is described as seeing the car. The listener’s car–seeing response is the basis for the listener’s subsequent conclusion that the vocalizer’s statement (There’s a car) was valid. Obviously, the listener then knows of the car (as they say). That acquired knowledge on the part of the listener may then be interpreted behaviorologically in at least two ways: (a) that the listener has neurally behaved that knowing–type of neural behavior, and (b) any reinforcement that has been associated with that behavior has left in place some neural restructuring that facilitates reiterations of that knowing behavior.

However, as we turn our attention to the past and future tenses, the evocative stimuli for the specified activity that is cast in either of those tenses can seem more illusive. A statement such as I ran yesterday is often described as a memory. However, the independent variables often remain unidentified. Those functional independent variables must be present currently, because current behavior is evoked only by current stimuli. However, the body that is currently vocalizing in the past tense about its exhibition of running behavior is not currently exhibiting the running that is being described. The stimuli that shared in behaviorally defining yesterday do not leap forward in time to evoke the future behavior that shares in defining today. At best, they are links in chains of functionally determined events that account for what has become the current environment.

The behavioral events of yesterday produced, at that time, structural changes in both the body and its environment, …changes that may remain in place to capacitate the current evocation of the statement I ran yesterday. For example, a current stimulus pertinent to running may now evoke a covert vision of yesterday’s running episode, because the body has been left, since yesterday’s conditioning episode, with the structural capacity to produce that kind of private seeing behavior in response to certain stimuli that may be contacted a day later. However, although triggered by a current stimulus (i.e., by an element of the current environment), the constituent events of that vision are out of context in the current environment as a whole. That is, the current environment does not evoke running behavior by the body’s major muscle systems, but is evoking only some neural behavior in the form of visions of what are then interpreted as yesterday’s running behavior on the basis of how they are being controlled.

The current evocative stimulus for such a visual review of a previous visual event need only trigger it and should do no more if an accurate neural reiteration of that past event is to occur. Often called a reminder, the current evocative stimulus need not have the detailed evocative capacities to produce the various elements of the vision. The reminder type of stimulus need only evoke most any element of that vision, and the previous pairing of that element with the others will result in the current manifestation of the relatively complete vision …a vision that is rendered by neural body parts that, since their structural conditioning a day earlier, have been configured to reproduce that kind of vision–response with relative ease.

We are linguistically conditioned by our verbal community to speak of such visions, which are incongruous with the current environment, in the past tense, just as we are conditioned to tact them as memories. The affixes or other inflections of verb morphemes that indicate the past tense of the verbs occur in response to the kind of
controls that are now evoking the basic verb. For instance, as in the previous example, which featured a verb inflection with the a in ran replacing the u in run.

That conditioned grammatical nuance along with our subsequent responses to it, constitutes our knowing that such currently restimulated visions pertain to originals that have occurred in prior contexts. That is the essence of our sense of past.

Behaviorally, we exist only in our present, and current behavioral revisitations of our past are actually always new behaviors that are occurring in our present in response to stimuli that also are part of our present. Thus, the reality of the past is always necessarily a currently produced inference that is evoked by the kind of current behavior–controlling circumstance that is described in the preceding paragraphs.

Why some current running–related stimulus so readily evokes a new vision similar to a vision that occurred in the presumed past is not necessarily a difficult kind of question, at least at the theoretical level. It is answered by explanatory recourse to the physiological implications of the basic model of behavioral conditioning: Operantly, if the original vision of the running behavior was reinforced, that would have left the body reconfigured, at a microstructural level, to more readily behave in that way whenever an appropriate evocative stimulus is again contacted. Respondently, each behavioral element of the visionary episode, having been paired with others to form a sequential chain of events, tends to reoccur in the same sequential order during subsequent reiterations, ...again because of the preestablished microstructural basis for the sequential manifestation of those chains of related events.

Returning to the current example of the runner who is reporting on his or her own activity, we can summarize more precisely as follows: The current contact between this body and a running–related stimulus involves a running–related stimulus in contact with a body that since yesterday has remained microstructurally configured to readily reproduce an episode of visual awareness that is similar to the original version that was behaved yesterday. An envisioned episode now occurs in the presence of the current running–related stimulus that, by its very manifestation, defines the currency of the vision–type of responding that it is evoking. However, the context of the behavior in the vision that is now being evoked is not current—we say ... not present. By this we mean that the envisioned context would evoke behavior different from that evoked following current looking and other environment–sampling posturing of the body. That is, the environment that if contacted would evoke the behavior in the current vision, differs from the environment that is contacted through current attending behaviors. In common parlance, what one is now visualizing is not what one would be seeing if one were alertly to look around and pay attention to what currently is present.

The kind of ongoing vision or private seeing behavior that is discrepant with respect to the current environment, may then, partly on the basis of that discrepancy, evoke the statement I ran yesterday. When such a past-tense verb inflection manifests, it is a discriminative response to some current neural events that are now occurring in the environmental absence of most of the stimuli that would be necessary to sustain a real version of the envisioned sequence. That is, what has now evoked that envisioned activity is insufficient to have evoked the original version with all of its envisioned details.

In response to a current although isolated or fragmentary stimulus (often called a reminder), one may re–see or re–feel oneself running while, at the same time, perhaps reacting to one's currently nonrunning body as well as to an environment that does not currently evoke identical running, if any running whatsoever. Casting the description of the visualized running in the past tense is a response to that combination of factors. One such factor is a kind of fragmentary evocation that nevertheless proves sufficient to trigger the envisioned sequence. Another factor is the incompatibility between the behavior that is evoked by the visualized environment and the behavior that is evoked by the current extrinsic environment. The discrepancy may be extreme as when the visualized environment strongly evokes running, while the actual current environment has no capacity to evoke running.

However, even if both environments (i.e., the visualized environment and the current so–called real environment) would tend to evoke running, a detailed analysis of the respective running will yield discrepancies. We do not run now exactly as we ran yesterday. Nor would the revisualized behavior–controlling environment and the currently visualized behavior–controlling environment be identical. That is, in the recalled vision one is seeing oneself running in an environment that would evoke the kind of running that is being envisioned, but it is an environment that differs, whether greatly or only in subtle ways, from the alternative environment that is seen when one exhibits inspection behaviors pertinent to one's immediate surroundings. We verbally distinguish among different times for an action (here, present and past) by responding with different verb inflections to such differences in behavior–controlling relations.

As described in common agential terms, if one snaps out of one's reminiscence and pays attention to what is currently happening, the behavior being recalled would be more or less out of place in the immediate situation. Given that those classes of responding differ, the test for the currency of the remembered behavior thereby fails, and the past tense rather than the present tense is more probable when describing it. If the envisioning also comes easily because the sequencing of the envisioned elements is already strengthened (presumably through pre-
vicious conditioning) the past tense of the verbs becomes highly probable.

The person may explain what is happening by saying something like this: *I have been experiencing a vision of myself running in a realistic context. That vision has been evoked in a way that did not anticipate (i.e., prompt) its contents. However, I have also then contacted (a) the current state of my body and (b) the environment in which my body currently exists, and, when I did so, in the resulting inspection–induced vision my body was not running in either a manner or context that comports with the initial vision of myself running. Therefore, I am conditioned to regard the running in my initial kind of visionary episode as having occurred previously, and I have been conditioned to describe any such running in the past tense. If one remembers running yesterday while running today, that situation would be subject to the same kind of analysis, but the distinctions to which the analyst would have to respond would be more subtle, especially if those two episodes of running were very similar.*

Note, however, that the behavior of sensing the past is happening in the present and is actually evoked by current (not past) events. The behaviors that are commonly classed as memories, recollections, or remembrances occur exclusively as a function of current events (as do all behavioral reactions). That is, everything behavioral happens in the present, and we must account for our behavioral senses of both past and future in terms of present evocative events. A sense of the reality of the past, by its nature, is often said to be an abstraction that is derived from past tacts, visions, and other nonverbal reactions, that have accumulated, but those are all behaviors, which are processes, not entities, so they cannot really accumulate in a physical sense. They happen transiently and can have no enduring status beyond their durations. Thus, memories cannot be reiterations of stored behaviors.

When occurring initially, however, behavioral reactions to the environment, including tacts, result in consequences that physically change the structure of the body that has mediated that behavior of contact. Such a molecular–scale change in the neural system of a body renders that body more or less behaviorally susceptible to such contacts on similar future occasions. On such future occasions of contact with environments that share stimulus elements with the present occasion, the current kind of contact behavior, or a fragmentary version of it, may be re–evoked. However, that will be happening in a future context that differs from the current context. On such future occasions, it is that contextual disparity, between (a) currently re–evoked versions of earlier contacts and (b) ongoing contacts of current events, that will control the casting of descriptions in the past tense.

Thus, what is called a *sense of the reality of the past* is necessarily always a current behavioral manifestation. It can be said that one *behaves* the reality of the past, but one must always be doing so in the present. Thus, the past can have no essence beyond current behavior and how it is being controlled. The so–called *reality* of the past necessarily inheres only as an artifact of current behavioral phenomena. *Past* is a current behavioral reaction to some currently encountered behavior–controlling relations and to the relations among those relations.

Upon analysis, these controls on verb forms may seem complex and subtle. Nevertheless, the autoclitic inflectional verbal behaviors that denote the past tense typically emerge with a natural ease, largely because one comes so often under contingencies to speak of the past that the necessary functional relations are strongly conditioned and kept so by one’s verbal community. While an accounting for grammatical tenses as natural phenomena can quickly become complex, the contingencies under which tense forms occur are encountered frequently and are often important. As a result of the discrepancy between the ease of expressing appropriate verb forms and the difficulty of accounting for them, the intuitive grammatical skills of the members of a verbal community quickly outstrip the capacity of most members to provide a rational account for those skills. This discrepancy is superstitiously increased among people who presume that verbal behavior represents the manifest will of a mystical self–agent.

The statement, *I will run tomorrow,* must also occur under current stimulation. Future events, being virtual or potential, are necessarily unreal and cannot function as evocative stimuli for current behaviors such as the previous statement. An assumption that a future event is controlling a current statement is classed as a teleological error, a kind of mistake that was discussed early in this book.

To account for the current evocation of future–tense inflections, we must look to what we infer to be the past. In general, during past behavioral episodes of operant conditioning, we have experienced seeing–type responses that feature ourselves repeating behavior that on certain prior occasions was followed closely by contact with reinforcers. The occasions or circumstances were thus paired with the reinforcers that were mediated by the intervening behavior. Such previous behaviors were repeated on subsequent occasions when reinforcement was possible or probable,… a probability that was indicated upon reconacting the kind of antecedent events that were present in those prior contingencies of reinforcement. That is, we say that reinforcement is again possible and perhaps probable when we encounter stimuli that were present as antecedents during previous episodes of reinforcement. Given such present contacts with indicative stimuli but prior to any public behavior to which those stimuli may lead or with which those stimuli may prove to be associated, a privately envisioned episode may occur,… one that thematically features the kind of public behavior that
those stimuli previously evoked. A person’s verbal description of such envisioned behavior is cast in the future tense. Thus, we note that current occasions to cast verbs in a future tense were respondenty capacitated by the prior pairing of antecedent and consequential stimuli.

Suppose, for example, that I have had such a conditioning history featuring the reinforcement of running behavior. If currently I contact events that in the past have preceded, by about a day, the evocative stimuli for running,... current events that, after about a day, have in the past led to the presentation of stimuli that evoke running, I am conditioned to respond now to newly encountered versions of those precursory circumstances by saying something like tomorrow, given an opportunity to run, I will run. If I have not run recently, the capacity of those current stimuli to evoke such a prediction may now be stronger due to the effects of deprivation.

Those currently encountered running-related stimuli may also produce a visionary episode of running. Whether the envisioned episode shares in the evocation of the predictive statement or is merely a coproduction of the encounter with the circumstances that have preceded previous runs may not be readily discriminable if the vision and the statement occur at about the same time. The statement could be occurring under direct stimulus control of those precursive events or it could be a response that describes what is being envisioned.

If, instead of a history of reinforcement, one has had a history of aversive stimulation with respect to events now encountered, a corresponding analysis of the controls on tense forms can be made with respect to avoidance and escape behaviors. Let us consider the reaction of a person who contacts stimuli that have always preceded a reliably punished behavior. The person may then describe those stimuli as threatening. In addition to evoking memories of past sequences of behavior and its punishment, those currently encountered stimuli may also produce an envisioned episode and perhaps other kinds of sensations that feature one engaging in avoidance behavior that has not yet occurred because the evocative stimuli for it have not yet presented. Concurrent emotional elicitations may prepare the body to respond more robustly in these ways.

At issue is what controls the formal past–versus–future distinction in descriptions of imagined events. To date, little scientific progress has been made in the accounting for such distinctions. However, everyone readily distinguishes in linguistic ways between past and future, so let us now more sharply focus our examination of how that verbal distinction can emerge as a natural phenomenon.

Given a bout of neural sensations, let us identify the indicators of futurity that evoke future tense inflections in its description. In this example, we will stick with the descriptions of envisioned avoidance behaviors. Given evidence of an impending kind of aversive stimulation, the envisioned avoidance behavior may take a familiar form that has never before been associated with what is a new kind of aversive stimulation, or it may represent a new combination of familiar avoidance behaviors in response to a familiar kind of threat. That is, the avoidance behavior featured in the current neural iteration may represent an old means of avoiding a new kind of threat, or it may represent a new set of avoidance behaviors in response to a familiar kind of threat. If one then comes under contingencies to describe the current situation, the description will be rendered in the future tense.

For example, one may say Upon seeing that bully approaching, I am going to leave before he gets within striking distance. In this case, the combination of (a) encountering this bully and (b) one’s leaving the scene cannot be made to occur together in an envisioned episode as a result of mere triggering by a probing style of reminder (which would be true of a memory but not of a visionary prediction). The past conditioning of thematic coherence that would insure a currently chaining sequential progression of the envisioned events has not previously occurred. Instead, the independently strengthened thematic elements of the currently envisioned episode have come together as a result of a generalization process, the newly associated elements having been strengthened independently. As an alternative to chaining, the generalization process explains the combinational affinity of those thematic elements in the general envisioned response to the current threat. The ongoing process of generalization with respect to the envisioned episodic construct evokes indicators of futurity in the verb inflections of statements that describe that envisioned episode.

What, we may ask, is it about the generalization process to which we so readily respond with the verb inflections that appropriately indicate the future tense? Here we are talking about a generalization process with respect to the neural behavior of an envisioned episode. In a generalization process, a single property or a subset of properties of an evocative stimulus may occur as elements of a different stimulus,... a different stimulus that, because of those inclusions, can evoke the same behavior as did the initial stimulus. In the current example, all of the responses and most of what stimulates them are visial neural responses. The constituent stimulative and responsive events featured in the environment–behavior relations within such an entirely neural episode may be described as virtual.

In the case of envisioned behavior, such a new envisioned stimulus (the vision of this particular bully) may arise through thematically extraneous prompting or probing. One then sees oneself running from that bully. This emergence of an old visionary behavior (i.e., vision of oneself running away) being functionally controlled by a new stimulus (this particular bully in this particular...
The emergence of a particular tense–impacting verb inflection is often said to reflect the “intuitive knowledge” that an envisioned episode is either in the past or in the potential future. However, there is no knowledge (whatever that is mistakenly assumed to be) beyond the evocation of a particular verb inflection by some ongoing functional relations.

There may be additional factors that support this inflectional distinction. One possibility pertains to differences in energy consumption—a critical factor to which bodies are naturally prepared to react behaviorally in various ways. The stimulation for the visionary construct of the speaker running away usually requires more energy than the stimulation of a visualized reiteration of a previously reinforced neural sequence as is characteristic of a memory. The greater energy drain in the former case controls the typical observation that “it can be harder to imagine creatively than merely to remember.” Speculating requires a level of ongoing if often weak self–prompting that tends to be unnecessary for memories (in which the sequential linkage is often already well established).

Such a subtle difference in the energy drain may share in the antecedent control of the tense–indicating verb inflection (i.e., *I will run away* as opposed to *I ran away*).

The emergence of a tense–denoting verb inflection may also occur in response to one’s own current contributions to the controls governing the thematic sequence of envisioned events that is being described. For instance, suppose the thinker has just emitted the self–mand, “what will I do if I meet that bully on the street?” Suppose, too, that the subsequently envisioned sequence of neural events features the thinker coming into contact with that bully and then behaving in some way. The bully functionally enters the envisioned episode via the speaker’s own extraneous prompt, but once the bully becomes a prompted thematic addition to the ongoing virtual episode, that bully then evokes the virtual response of running away (through the previously discussed generalization process). The thinker cum speaker, having just prompted elements of the virtual episode to be described, is then likely to describe his or her own behavior in that envisioned episode with future tense inflections of the verbs. Those future–indicative inflections would emerge under partial control by at least two factors: (a) the future tense of the verb in the self–mand to produce a speculation that began this episode (viz., “what will I do if I meet that bully on the street?”) and (b) the thinker’s current thematic prompting of the envisioned scenario via that self–mand.

Such influences, characteristic of a speculation about the future, must not be permitted to happen if the prevailing contingencies favor an accurate memory. An accurate memory must be probed but not prompted.

Note that a *sense of antecedence* or a *sense of futurity* inheres in current circumstances. Those senses manifest
in the form of current statements being cast in the past or future tense. Antecedence or futurity is always a property of current behavioral products. The reality of past and future can manifest only as current behavioral phenomena, with the critical distinction inhering in the nature of the controlling relations. We behave our sense of future as we behave our sense of past, with both occurring as aspects of our present behavior. The essence of the distinction between past, present, and future (including tense–indicative inflections, and any other aspects of our so–called sense of time) inheres in some different ways in which some current behaviors are being controlled.

Let us conclude this section with a prison metaphor: One is confined within the prison of one’s own behavior and serves a life sentence exclusively in the functional present. In behaviorological terms this statement translates as follows: One is one’s behavior and nothing more; one’s sense of past and future consist exclusively of current and functionally controlled behavioral events. In descriptions of these senses, their distinctions occur as different forms of autoclitic verbal behavior.

**The General Role of Autoclitics in Verbal Behavior**

Verbal behavior, in general, shares in the control of subsequent behavior, and as a result of the verbal component among those controls, the ultimate behavior tends to be more effective. That has been demonstrated often and in a wide variety of practical situations. The notion that verbal behavior contributes to the effectiveness of other behavior long ago became embedded in common wisdom. People generally assume that their behavior is more effective when their actions are guided intellectually (i.e., verbally) rather than merely intuitively (i.e., nonverbally), although fluent behavior that is effective precisely because it occurs under direct stimulus control is a widely recognized exception.

We have noted that the verbalizer and mediator are often represented by a single body, as when verbalizers speak or think to themselves, and we have noted that the general function of speech is not changed when the listener is the same person who is speaking. If only one individual is involved, the verbal behavior need not be rendered audible, because it can be contacted in a private internal way. The person in whom subvocal speech is produced is said to “hear” it privately, just as the person in whom a vision is generated is said to “see” it privately (although both the sound and vision, as neural behaviors, enter into whatever subsequent functions may follow without the mediation of the redundant self–agent who is said to hear and see).

However, regardless of who the listener may be, the verbal behavior that appears initially may have to mature in form and arrangement before it acquires the properties that enable it to share effectively in the control of subsequent behavior. In that regard, autoclitic enhancements play the important role of additional supplements that prepare the raw tacts, mands, and intraverbals to exert sufficiently effective control on the behavior of a mediator (whether the speaker per se or another person).

The autoclitic behavior consists of those verbal features that can be construed as talk about, or in response to, other talk (or more precisely as verbal behavior occurring under stimulus control of the relations through which other verbal behavior arises). The primary verbal behavior that evokes the autoclitics is environmentally controlled in the various ways that are typically characteristic of mands, tacts, and intraverbals. The autoclitics, which appear as special enhancing characteristics of such primary speech and as supplements to it, are being controlled by the nature of the functional antecedent and postcedent controlling relations through which that primary verbal behavior is produced.

Thus, autoclitics do not arise in isolation apart from other kinds of verbal behavior. We may come under natural contingencies to say spoon in isolation—for instance, as a tact, mand, or intraverbal. (A searcher, upon seeing a spoon, may say spoon; a person with soup but no spoon, may say spoon; and a person who hears knife, fork, and... may reply spoon.) However, we do not come under uncontrived contingencies to say perhaps, the, ...ing, ..., or some apart from other verbal behavior to which such utterances pertain.

Before autoclitics appear, more basic verbal behavior must already be manifesting. Furthermore, a mediator must also be present whose behavior, from the perspective of the verbalizer, can be improved by verbal stimuli pertinent to the nature of the controlling relations that govern that verbalizer’s basic verbal behavior. For instance, in the previous example of a verbalizer saying the word spoon in three different contexts, appropriate autoclitic enhancements of the utterance spoon would be different in the three cases, because the stimulus controls on the utterance of spoon, to which those autoclitics would respectively pertain, are different.

The appropriate response of the mediator would also differ in each case, and the verbalizer’s differing autoclitic supplementation of the basic utterance spoon would be responsible for those differences in the reaction of a mediator. For the utterance of spoon as a tact, mand, or intraverbal response, possible respective autoclitic supplements would be There is a..., Please pass the..., and ...is the correct completion. The verbalizer’s conditioning histories for these three different sets of autoclitics would have involved the establishment of specific kinds of control over the behavior of mediators—control that was exerted by those respective autoclitics. The respective autoclitically determined reinforcing responses by those mediators would have involved (a) attending to the
spoon that evoked the verbalizer’s tact, (b) passing the spoon to the verbalizer, and (c) arranging that the verbalizer contact some sort of generalized reinforcer.

The complexity and subtlety of the controls on autoclitis can tax a person’s intuitive sense of nature. Even among natural scientists, many remain reluctant to concede that such verbal behavior in response to other verbal behavior can occur exclusively as totally controlled (i.e., inevitable) functional reactions, as must all natural events. In some cases, that reluctance to rely exclusively on a natural account is because the personal natural philosophy of those “natural” scientists does not apply beyond their own nonbehavioral specializations, leaving them vulnerable to superstitious interpretations of behavioral phenomena.

In other cases, support for that reluctance to treat autoclitic verbal behavior as a natural phenomenon is sought among concepts of unpredictable variance, chance, randomness, chaos, and probability. However, the concepts in that domain pertain fundamentally to human behavioral limitations and consist of conceptual tools by which to remain effective in spite of those limitations. They are not concepts descriptive of the fundamental nature of nature, but instead allude to the fact that natural events often occur so rapidly and prolifically that they exhaust the human capacity to monitor and measure.

Thus, concepts of unpredictable variance, chance, randomness, chaos, and probability pertain to human conceptual devices by which to circumvent complexity and do not support a logical assault on the requirement of environment–behavior function in the production of any and all behavior. As it is sometimes expressed, the fact that nature often works too fast for people to keep up with it reflects a shortcoming in people and certainly does not imply that nature can get unnatural. Instances in which enthralment with those conceptual devices has carried to such extravagant conclusions illustrate part of the cost to the scientific community when behaviorology is absent from the basic natural sciences in which members of the general scientific community are grounded.

The controls on autoclitic verbal behavior are often subtle and resist analysis, even with respect to the familiar verb inflections that respectively indicate antecedence or futurity. This section only hints at the magnitude of the task of converting the study of language from a structural to a functional kind of accounting. But language consists of verbal behavior and its products, and a substantial increment of progress in the study of language awaits that transition. Such a shift in how language is analyzed has had to await the emergence of the relevant basic natural science, but with the emergence of behaviorology the analysis of language can transcend certain limitations imposed by the traditional way that language has been studied.§

[Part 4 continues in the next issue.—Ed.]

Footnotes

1 In nature, whatever can happen, does happen. In a natural world, terms of probability are evoked by the speaker’s insufficient contact with the environment, not by some intrinsic environmental vagary. If an event does not happen, it could not have happened. In contrast, superstitious perspectives on events often posit a mystical agent that mediates the relations between independent and dependent variables. That fictional agent can deign to allow or disallow the manifestation of any dependent variable. The notion of an agential willpower replaces the concept of natural function. Such an assumption then renders logical various practices of appeal to that arbiter on behalf of favored outcomes.

2 Note that the fragmentary utterance in this example functions as described here only if the word look is uttered without audible emphasis. In that case the listener tends to respond as if the term is descriptive of the speaker’s searching behavior. If the term look in the same uttered phrase is audibly emphasized, the listener tends to respond as if manded to participate in the search. This inflectional distinction reveals an another kind of autoclitic. As with all kinds of autoclitics such an emphatic inflection reveals a certain kind of control over the statement in which it occurs. Although the same words are spoken in both cases, in one case the speaker is merely explaining his or her actions; in the other case, the speaker is telling the listener to help search.

3 In a sentence of this kind, the term speakers does not refer to proactive self-agents but merely to the bodily entity by way of which the dependent behavioral variables can manifest in certain behavior–controlling functions. A speaker is thus a body that is reacting in a certain natural way under the functional control of certain features of its environment. In the case of a descriptive autoclitic response, the body is reacting verbally to aspects of some of its other verbal behavior.

4 The aspect of a relation that can function as a behavior–controlling stimulus consists of the reliable sequence of real events that supports the inference that a functional relation exists between those events.

5 Often, it is not the whole listener that is functional in this regard but only certain characteristics of the listener. For instance, the kind of descriptive autoclitic that indicates the controls on the speaker’s basic statement may occur if, but only if, the listener exhibits an incredulous facial expression in response to the basic statement. A gesture as subtle as a raised eyebrow may be sufficient for the speaker to repeat the basic
statement, this time with the addition of the autoclitics that indicate its evocative controls (e.g., A police officer said that the parade is starting now). Note that the listener, in managing the consequences of the speaker's utterances, assumes the role of a mediator.

6 This statement does not suggest that a person can respond to past events but rather that a person can respond to the current effects of past events. Past events leave changes to the body, often subtle, and it is to such after-effects that one can be conditioned to respond. In cases like this, those changes may be molecular and neural. An observation such as “she knows what she has done” is an allusion to that kind of lingering effect and a current response to it.

7 On terms: Note that the term vocalizer in this paragraph is defined simply as a speaking verbalizer, …a definition that in the context of the presented example implies that the substitution is valid. If the example had featured a pair of deaf individuals who communicated in sign language, verbalizer and mediator would still be correct, but not speaker and listener.

8 Discussion of the quantifying autoclitic with respect to changes in the intensity of a behavior raises the old issue of whether a change in the intensity with which a behavior manifests represents a change to a different behavior. That is, can the same behavior manifest with different intensities, or do different intensities imply different behaviors. However, during considerations of the quantifying subclass of autoclitic verbal behavior, that distinction is usually dismissed as esoteric.

9 During a copper shortage in the middle of World War II the United States minted a small number of pennies made of a silver colored alloy that contained no copper.

10 People whose job is to fill orders from warehouses or supply depots form a verbal subcommunity the members of which routinely exhibit this language of categorization, which relies on such syntactical reversals of order. That reversed order represents a relational autoclitic that comports with the logic of the categorization scheme for the stored commodities. A mediator who is manded to produce socks, wool, brown, size 11 has thereby been provided with the order of search along with a specification of the item to be delivered. In contrast, consider the mention, in the more standard conversational order, of size 11 brown woolen socks. That form would have the necessary search pattern backwards if the behavior of the mediator were to come under control of it as a search–prescriptive relational autoclitic.

11 The adjective difficult in such a statement is a response to the degree of fatigue that is associated with the relatively high energy consumption of private neural activity.

12 Recall that the behavior–controlling environment includes all real events on both sides of the skin. Thus, from the perspective of a mediator, an environmental event can be an utterance by a remote speaker, an utterance spoken by that mediator (who is also hearing it), or a private neural behavior within that mediator who then reacts behaviorally to it.

13 When such presentations are arranged by the verbalizers themselves, we say that they are thereby engaged in composing. The “composed” product is thus teased out by arranging the stimuli that inevitably will evoke it. Note, however, that those behaviors of composition, which arrange the necessary stimulus presentation, also occur naturally in response to aspects of the situation. Those functional relations too are subject to behaviorological analyses. Such analytical sequences account for the activities traditionally assigned to the spiritual muse and render it progressively redundant.

14 The past tense of a weak verb is produced with a suffix by which the verb is inflected to indicate the past time of the action (e.g., picked). An alternative kind of inflection, characteristic of strong verbs, indicates the past action of a verb through a change in the form of the basal morpheme of the verb (e.g., sang instead of sing, was instead of is, or [as in the current example] ran instead of run).

15 Note that the term contact really refers to a behavioral reaction. Contacts with . . . manifest as behavioral reactions to . . . As will be further explored in a subsequent chapter, the reality of the environment, as determined by our contacts with it, is an inference (i.e., a subsequent kind of behavioral reaction) that is based on prior behavioral reactions that presumably were evoked by an environment. Thus, our own behavior is necessarily as close as we can ever get to the reality of what we call “our environments.”

16 The phrase public behavior alludes to the fact that that behavior produces sensations in other people as well as in the body that exhibits it. The phrase private behavior alludes to the fact that the behavioral events produce sensations only in the body that is exhibiting that behavior. Those sensations constitute the person’s firsthand knowledge of the behavior that that person’s body is exhibiting. In the current example, we are talking about visual sensations. Note that a behaving person’s awareness of the behavior that its own body exhibits is a neural behavioral response to that episode of behaving (in this example, the seeing kind). A body behaves its own self–awareness.

17 Recall that, if the current neural behavior is entirely a restimulated rendition of a prior one, it is called a memory and described using indicators of the past tense. If, on the other hand, it features a composite of behaviors from different earlier episodes that have never occurred together as an integral episode, all evoked
by a current event that, in the past, has preceded punitive stimulation, one describes the avoidance behavior as impending insofar as the future tense is evoked. The forms that indicate futurity are thus controlled antecedently by a current event that restimulates neural reiterations of past behavioral reactions that originally occurred as parts of different episodes. An example is when, in response to a current event, one imagines one taking some composite action the elements of which have, in the past, occurred on different occasions. What we call different past occasions are discriminatively distinguished as different on the basis of how elements of current neural behaviors (called recollections) are being controlled.
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This is another installment in the series of syllabi for TIBI's online courses. Each syllabus appears in Behaviorology Today basically in the same form as it appears online. The series continues whenever there are syllabi that have yet to be printed, or that require reprinting due to substantial revisions. Locate additional syllabi through the Syllabus Directory at the back of the most recent issue.—Ed.

Note #1: This syllabus contains some notes that supplement the more traditional syllabus parts. Each note is numbered for convenient reference. Some notes, like this one, have multiple paragraphs.

This syllabus is a long document. It is longer than a syllabus for a face-to-face course as it contains material that the professor would otherwise cover in person. Hence it was designed to be printed out for reading! Furthermore, it was designed to be used as a task check-off list. Please print it out and use it these ways.

The only activity in this course for which you might need access to a computer is to print this syllabus as a reference for how this course works so you can follow the directions to complete this course. This is a matter of access, student access to education, so that everyone who wants this course can take it regardless of whether they own several computers or only have access to one in their local library or in a friend's home.

Students can, if they wish, study the topics of this course free of charge, perhaps to fulfill their own interests. They would do so simply by completing the activities described in this syllabus.

Students can also study the topics of this course for TIBI (The International Behaviorology Institute) credit, perhaps toward a TIBI certificate. They would do so by paying the necessary fee to be assigned a professor to provide feedback on, and assessment of, their efforts. (This course can be part of several TIBI certificates. Contact TIBI or visit www.behaviorology.org for details.)

Also, students can study the topics of this course for regular academic credit; they would do so by contacting any accredited institution of higher education that offers behaviorology courses accepted by TIBI, such as the State University of New York at Canton (suny–Canton) at www.canton.edu which is suny–Canton's web site. At suny–Canton this course is offered as SSCI 365: Behavior Engineering: Rehabilitation. TIBI automatically accepts A or B grades from the academic–credit version of this course as equivalent to its own course toward its certificates (and C and D academic–credit grades can be remediated through TIBI for TIBI credit; contact TIBI for details). Alternatively, the work done completing this course through TIBI may make taking the course for academic credit easier; ask the professor who teaches suny–Canton’s equivalent course about this.

The parts of this syllabus cover many topics. While the headings may be different, these include (a) the course content and objectives, (b) the text, study, and assessment materials, (c) the grading policy, (d) the necessary work–submission methods and professor feedback, and (e) the study–activity sequence and completion timelines.

Note #2: The prerequisite (or corequisite) for this course is BEHG 101: Introduction to Behaviorology I. If you have not had this prerequisite course (or its academic–credit equivalent such as SSCI 245: Introduction to the Science and Technology of Behavior, from suny–Canton), then you need to take it before taking this course for TIBI credit.
Course Description

BEHG 400: Behaviorological Rehabilitation. This course examines the application of the natural science and technology of behavior to improving human interactions and success rates in institutional rehabilitation settings such as hospitals and prisons. The scientific understanding of the punishment and coercion behind many practices in such settings is covered along with rehabilitation considerations focused on both adult and youth clients or offenders. The course takes a systematic and data–based orientation to the organization and management of hospital or corrections personnel and institutions, and patient/prisoner rehabilitation. An integral course component is the development of behavior management–related knowledge and skills for application not only in the general design and operation of institutional rehabilitation settings but also in the everyday professionalism of staff at those settings.

Note #3: To check out other behaviorology courses offered by TIBI, visit their locations on the TIBI web site (www.behaviorology.org). To check out other behaviorology courses offered by SUNY–Canton, see the list and descriptions—and in some cases, the syllabi for the asynchronous versions—on the faculty web page of the professor who teaches them (which currently is Dr. Stephen F. Ledoux; click Ledoux in the faculty directory at www.canton.edu).

Course Objectives

The main objective of the course is to expand the student’s behavior repertoire measurably in relevant areas of behaviorological course content. The student will:

- Summarize the behavior engineering analysis of punishment and coercion as the scientific basis of violence and rehabilitative failure in rehabilitation settings, and the implications of that analysis for successful behavior engineering efforts in those settings;
- Incorporate behavior engineering design and practices into client/offender rehabilitation settings for youth;
- Incorporate behavior engineering design and practices into client/offender rehabilitation settings for adults;
- Summarize the behavior engineering analysis of the relevant legal contingencies in rehabilitation settings.

Additional Objectives

- Successful, earning students will use (at an accuracy level of 90% or better) relevant disciplinary terminology when discussing (a) the scientific basis of punishment and coercion leading to violence in society in general and to rehabilitative failure in particular, and (b) the behaviorological skills whose application prevents and deters so much violence and failure of all types in all institutional and rehabilitation settings.

- Such successful students will also ask questions, seek answers, converse about, and act on the uses and benefits of this discipline for humanity.

- Such successful students will also behave more effectively in other ways with respect to themselves and others.

Required Materials (in their order of use)


The first two of these required books carry over as part of other behavior engineering topic courses of possible interest to you (e.g., Preventing School Violence, and Preventing Workplace Violence).

Recommended Materials

If you think “clients/patients/inmates” when this video program speaks of children, then this video may be of interest to you in going deeper into the course topics and extensions:


Note #4: You can order the required books and A/V items through the publishers, including ABCs at 315–386–2684, and P&T ink at either 435–752–5749 or—toll free—for credit–card orders only at 1–888–750–4814. You may also order these materials through the online bookstore at www.behavior.org which is the web site of the Cambridge Center for Behavioral Studies.

Also, this course is grounded in the Shaping Model of Education which is informed by behaviorological science (rather than the Presentation Model of Education which is informed by psychology). In the shaping model teaching is not seen as mostly talking (nor is learning seen as mostly listening). Instead, teaching is the scientifically grounded design, arrangement, and application of educational materials, methods, and contingencies in ways that generate and maintain small but continuously accumulating behaviors the short and long range consequences of which are successful in producing an ever wider range of effective responding (i.e., learning) on the part of the student.

Grades

Grading policy does not involve curves, for you are not in competition with anyone (except perhaps your-
self). That is, all students are expected to produce the academic products demonstrating that they have, individually, achieved at least mastery of the subject matter, if not fluency. Therefore, all students are expected to earn an A or a B (although inadequate products will produce a lower result that requires remediation before it can become a passing grade). Also, all students will receive the grades they earn. This holds even if the expectation for which the course is designed—that all students earn As—is met: If all earn As, then all receive As.

Passing grades are limited to A and B, and are earned according to the amount of assigned work that is successfully completed:

- Earning an A consists mainly of satisfactorily completing 90% or more of the work on all assignments.
- Earning a B consists mainly of satisfactorily completing more than 80% of the work on all assignments (but not more than 90% on them).

For convenience a point–accumulation system is invoked to keep track of progress through the course. The assignments on the *Coercion and Its Fallout* book are worth 100 points (5 points for each of the 20 short assignments). The assignments on the *New Learning Environment* book are also worth 100 points (10 points for each of the ten assignments). And the assignments on the *Behaviorological Rehabilitation* book are also worth 100 points (20 points for each of the five assignments). This provides a grand total of 300 possible points. The grade that you receive is partly based on the percentage of these possible points that you actually earn.

However, point accumulation is not the grade determinant but is merely used as a convenient way to track progress on the presumption that all course tasks are in progress. This is because doing work on all of the tasks for the course is the more relevant determinant of grades than is the accumulation of points. (For example, a student who tries to accumulate just enough points, on some easier tasks, to get a B—while ignoring other course tasks—would not that way actually meet the criteria for a B and so would have to continue and complete all the required work satisfactorily to earn one of the passing grades.)

Also, students should expect to be asked occasionally to complete various test–like assessments. The level of success on these assessments helps gauge the extent to which the work on the course assignments is actually producing the learning implied by the completion of that work.

These practices are in place because the scientific research–data based Shaping Model of Education recognizes the student/professor relationship as a professional relationship in which coercive practices (i.e., aversive educational practices) are seen as inappropriate (so long as extreme conditions do not exist making such practices unavoidable). Instead, the more effective, efficient, and productive non–coercive practices of carefully designed and sequenced assignments emphasizing added reinforcement for timely work well done is generally seen as more appropriate. So, your effort and cooperation are expected and presumed; please do not disappoint either your professor or yourself.

### About Using the Texts & Study Question Books

Unless specified otherwise, you need to write out your answers in longhand. The reason you are to write out your answers by hand is that this type of verbal response brings about more learning than merely saying—or even typing—the answer. This is because—as taught in another advanced behaviorology class (i.e., BEHG 355: Verbal Behavior I)—writing the answer in longhand involves both point–to–point correspondence and formal similarity between the stimuli and the response products of the answer.

### The Coercion Book

The *Coercion* book introduces students to the problems resulting from coercion and punishment—the scientifically discovered basis of most of the violence throughout society, including in institutions with stated goals of rehabilitation—and the general scientific approach to solving those problems. *Coercion* book assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section.

### The New Learning Environment Book

The *New Learning Environment* book takes students through a comprehensive application of the natural science principles of behavior to rehabilitation. The book presents this application in the context of a rehabilitation setting for young offenders. The application includes the personal, positive, proactive, non–coercive and effective practices and skills that are vital to success in such settings not only for the staff and the target population but also for society. *New Learning Environment* book assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section.

### The Behaviorological Rehabilitation Book

The *Behaviorological Rehabilitation* book provides students with a comprehensive application of the natural science principles of behavior to rehabilitation. The book presents this application in the context of a rehabilitation setting for adult offenders. The application includes the personal, positive, proactive, non–coercive and effective practices and skills that are vital to success in such settings not only for the staff and the target population but also for society. *Behaviorological Rehabilitation* book assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section.

### The Study Question Books

Each textbook (*Coercion, A New Learning Environment, and Behaviorological Rehabilitation*) has a book of study questions. These were prepared to help you expand your behavior repertoire based on the material in each
textbook. You are to complete each textbook’s study questions in the sequence assigned because learning occurs when reinforced responses are made (like writing question answers), especially responses that automatically provide their own reinforcing consequences (like being right) as does writing out study question answers correctly. You complete the assigned study questions, after reading the chapter through, by writing out the answer to each question when you come to each question as you reread the chapter. You write out the answers right in the Study Question book. Write out your answers in full sentences that incorporate the questions. Check all your answers. And make any corrections that you find you need to make as you review and learn the material.

Most study question books start with a section titled To the Student and Teacher. Read this section first! It explains more on how to do the study questions successfully. (You will also find it helpful to mark the number of each study question in the margins at the location of the study question’s answer.) Study question book assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section. Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

Note #5: Since you are to write out your answers to the study questions directly in the study question books, you need to have your own study question books. To assure that this is followed by everyone equally, you need to fill out and send in to your professor (by regular postal mail) the original ownership forms in the rear of your ABCs-published study question books.

Submitting Your Work

These work-submission methods only apply if you are taking the course for 51B1 credit. (Any addresses and phone/fax numbers that you may need will be clarified upon enrollment.)

To submit your study question answers, which must be hand-written, you can scan and fax to your professor the pages that have your answers for each assignment. However, your professor would prefer that you photocopy those pages and send them to your professor by regular postal mail.

In all cases, you are to keep the original of your work. This insures against loss and enables you and your professor to communicate about your work (as you will then both have an identical copy). Note, however, that for study question answers, email and email attachments are neither reliable enough, nor identical enough, for this purpose, so they are not to be used for this purpose.

Your work will be perused and points will be allocated according to the quality of your work. Should any inadequacies be apparent, you will be informed so that you can make improvements. While sometimes your professor will provide a metaphorical pat on the back for a job well done, if you do not hear of any inadequacies, then pat yourself on the back for a job well done even as you continue on to the next assignment.

Assignment Sequence

Students should work their way through the course by reading and studying the texts and materials, and sending in their work for each assignment. The slowest reasonable self-pacing of the coursework (presuming a typical 15-week semester) is this sequence which can be used as a check-off list:

Week 3: The Coercion book: Chs. 8, 9, 10, & 11.
Week 8: The New Learning Env. book: Chs. 4 & 5.
Week 10: The New Learning Env. book: Both Appendices.
Week 11: The Behaviorological Rehabilitation book: Ch. 1.
Week 12: The Behaviorological Rehabilitation book: Ch. 2.
Week 13: The Behaviorological Rehabilitation book: Ch. 3.
Week 14: The Behaviorological Rehabilitation book: Ch. 4.
Week 15: The Behaviorological Rehabilitation book: Ch. 5.

Do the assignments in this sequence, even if you do them at a faster pace than the pace presented here. If you go slower than this schedule, assignments could easily back up on you to the point where insufficient time remains to complete them in a satisfactory manner.

Note #6: Be sure that everything you submit is readable and contains your name!

Note #7: The usual higher education workload expectation for a course is about 150 hours. (The typical face-to-face course features about 50 in-class contact hours with the university expecting about 100 more hours of additional study at the average rate of about two hours out of class for each hour in class.) This can be accomplished at rates ranging from about 50 hours per week over three weeks to about ten hours per week over the typical 15 weeks of a semester. Of course, some students may take a little less than 150 hours, while others may take more than 150 hours, to do the work to the same acceptable and expected standard.

You can—and are encouraged to—go through the assignments as rapidly as your schedule allows. This could mean spending a typical 15 weeks on the course.
it could mean doing the whole course in as little as—but not in less than—three weeks, as one would progress through the single allowed course in a three–week summer school term. That is, you could work on the course anywhere from minimum part–time (i.e., at the rate of about ten hours per week, as described in the Assignment Sequence section) to maximum full–time (i.e., at the rate of about 50 hours per week).

If you are to be successful, you need to exercise some self–management skills by starting immediately and keeping up a reasonable and steady pace on the course work. You need to do this because your professor will not be reminding you that the products of your work are due; all the course work is set forth in this syllabus and so is automatically assigned. You are expected to follow through on your own. You need to set an appropriate pace for yourself (or accept the pace in the Assignment Sequence section) and adhere to that pace, and thereby get the sequence of assignments done and submitted to your professor. This will assist your success.

At various points in the course, you will be provided with feedback about your work. Upon completing all the coursework, you will be provided with your earned grade. (The grade is provided solely for the person whose work earned the grade.) We at TIBI are sure that the outcomes of your efforts to study this aspect of behaviorology science will benefit both you and others, and we encourage you to study further aspects.

TIBI Online Syllabus for
BEHG 415:
Basic Autism Intervention Methods

Stephen F. Ledoux
SUNY–Canton

[This is another installment in the series of syllabi for TIBI’s online courses. Each syllabus appears in Behaviorology Today basically in the same form as it appears online. The series continues whenever there are syllabi that have yet to be printed, or that require reprinting due to substantial revisions. Locate additional syllabi through the Syllabus Directory at the back of the most recent issue.—Ed.]

Note #1: This syllabus contains some notes that supplement the more traditional syllabus parts. Each note is numbered for convenient reference. Some notes, like this one, have multiple paragraphs.

This syllabus is a long document. It is longer than a syllabus for a face–to–face course as it contains material that the professor would otherwise cover in person. Hence it was designed to be printed out for reading! Furthermore, it was designed to be used as a task check–off list. Please print it out and use it these ways.

The only activity in this course for which you might need access to a computer, before the web–log, is to print this syllabus as a reference for how this course works so you can follow the directions to complete this course. This is a matter of access, student access to education, so that everyone who wants this course can take it regardless of whether they own several computers or only have access to one in their local library or in a friend's home.

Students can, if they wish, study the topics of this course free of charge, perhaps to fulfill their own interests. They would do so simply by completing the activities described in this syllabus.

Students can also study the topics of this course for TIBI (The International Behaviorology Institute) credit, perhaps toward a TIBI certificate. They would do so by paying the necessary fee to be assigned a professor to provide feedback on, and assessment of, their efforts. (This course can be part of several TIBI certificates, including the Effective Autism Intervention Certificate. Contact TIBI or visit www.behaviorology.org for details.)

Also, students can study the topics of this course for regular academic credit; they would do so by contacting any accredited institution of higher education that offers behaviorology courses accepted by TIBI, such as the State University of New York at Canton (suny–Canton) at www.canton.edu which is suny–Canton’s web site. At suny–Canton this course is offered as SSCI 375: Basic Autism ABA Methods. TIBI automatically accepts A or B grades from the academic–credit version of this course as equivalent to its own course toward its certificates (and C and D academic–credit grades can be remediated through TIBI for TIBI credit; contact TIBI for details). Alternatively, the work done completing this course through TIBI may make taking the course for academic credit easier; ask the professor who teaches suny–Canton’s equivalent course about this.

The parts of this syllabus cover many topics. While the headings may be different, these include (a) the course content and objectives, (b) the text, study, and assessment materials, (c) the grading policy, (d) the necessary work–submission methods and professor feedback, and (e) the study–activity sequence and completion timelines.

Note #2: The prerequisite (or corequisite) for this course is BEHG 101: Introduction to Behaviorology I. If you have not had this prerequisite course (or its academic–credit equivalent such as SSCI 245: Introduction to the Science
and Technology of Behavior, from SUNY–Canton), then you need to take it either before taking the current course, or at the same time as you take the current course.

**Course Description**

**BEHG 415: Basic Autism Intervention Methods.** This course examines the application of the natural science and technology of behavior to the interventions for children with autism, and related developmental disabilities, using fundamental applied behaviorology methods (known to many as ABA—Applied Behavior Analysis—methods). Exercising a systematic and data-based behaviorological orientation, the course topics include (a) the evaluation of different approaches for effectiveness, (b) the skills to be taught to children with autism, (c) the behavior engineering practices and skills needed to teach autistic children effectively, (d) the different roles of professionals and para-professionals involved in autism intervention efforts, (e) the organizational and legal supports available to autistic children and their families, (f) the roles of different autism treatment team members, (g) the organizational and legal interactions between families with autistic children and their local schools, and (h) the answers to the common questions asked about autistic children. Examination of autism training curricula, programs, practices, data sheets, settings, and case histories are also part of the course.

*Note #3:* To check out other behaviorology courses offered by TIBI, visit their locations on the TIBI web site (www.behaviorology.org). To check out other behaviorology courses offered by SUNY–Canton, see the list and descriptions—and in some cases, the syllabi for the asynchronous versions—on the faculty web page of the professor who teaches them (which currently is Dr. Stephen F. Ledoux; click Ledoux in the faculty directory at www.canton.edu).

**Course Objectives**

The main objective of this course is to expand the student’s behavior repertoire measurably in relevant areas of behaviorological course content. The student will:

- Compare autism intervention approaches and strategies, and evaluate their relative effectiveness;
- Analyze the range and depth of the behavior skills to be taught to children with autism by autism intervention personnel;
- Apply the behavior engineering practices and skills that autism intervention personnel develop to conduct autism intervention programs in the standard settings (i.e., center–based and home–based programs) in a professional and effective way;
- Compare the depth and range of the differing behavior engineering activities, roles, and qualifications of both professionals and para–professionals involved in effective autism intervention;
- Analyze the benefits of the variety and sources of organizational and legal supports available for families with autistic children;
- Evaluate the roles of the various professionals who are members of autism intervention teams, such as speech–language pathologists;
- Interpret the organizational and legal considerations relevant to the interactions between families with autistic children and their local schools;
- Synthesize comprehensive and professional answers to the common and difficult questions asked by parents of autistic children.

**Additional Objectives**

- Successful, a earning students will use (at an accuracy level of 90% or better) basic disciplinary terminology both when discussing behaviorological knowledge, and when applying behaviorological skills, relevant to autism interventions.
- Such successful students will also ask questions, seek answers, converse about, and act on the uses and benefits of this discipline for humanity.
- Such successful students will also behave more effectively in other ways with respect to themselves and others.

**Required Materials (in their order of use)**


Each resident of New York State can order a free single copy of this book by sending a request, with their name and address, to this address:

Publications
New York State Department of Health
PO Box 2000
Albany NY 12220

For a small fee for each copy, others can order a single copy, or anyone can order multiple copies, by calling 518–439–7286 to place a credit card order, or by contacting Health Education Services at this address:
In either case, include this information with your request for the book: "Clinical Practice Guideline Quick Reference Guide: Autism / Pervasive Developmental Disorders, Assessment and Intervention for Young Children (Age 0–3 Years), 108 pages, 1999 Publication No. 4216.

**Recommended Materials**

These are references to materials that, while not required for the course, may also be of interest to those who wish to go deeper into the course topics and extensions:


*Note #4:* You can order most of the required books and A/V items through the publishers, including Pro–Ed at—toll free—1–800–897–3202, *ABCs* at 315–386–2684, and *P&T ink* either at 435–752–5749 or—toll free—for credit–card orders only) at 1–888–750–4814. You may also order these materials through the online bookstore at www.behavior.org which is the web site of the Cambridge Center for Behavioral Studies.

Also, this course is grounded in the Shaping Model of Education which is informed by behaviorological science (rather than the Presentation Model of Education which is informed by psychology). In the shaping model, teaching is not seen as mostly talking (nor is learning seen as mostly listening). Instead, teaching is the scientifically grounded design, arrangement, and application of educational materials, methods, and contingencies in ways that generate and maintain small but continuously accumulating behaviors the short and long range consequences of which are successful in producing an ever wider range of effective responding (i.e., learning) on the part of the student.

**Grades**

Grading policy does not involve curves, for you are not in competition with anyone (except perhaps yourself). That is, all students are expected to produce the academic products demonstrating that they have, individually, achieved at least mastery of the subject matter, if not fluency. Therefore, all students are expected to earn an A or a B (although inadequate products will produce a lower result that requires remediation before it can become a passing grade). Also, all students will receive the grades they earn. This holds even if the expectation for which the course is designed—that all students earn As—is met: If all earn As, then all receive As.

Passing grades are limited to A and B, and are earned according to the amount of assigned work that is successfully completed:

- Earning an A consists mainly of satisfactorily completing 90% or more of the work on all assignments.
- Earning a B consists mainly of satisfactorily completing more than 80% of the work on all assignments (but not more than 90% on them).

For convenience a point–accumulation system is invoked to keep track of progress through the course. All but one of the 21 assignments (one on each of the 21 chapters) in the Maurice et al book are worth 10 points each, for a total of 200 points. The assignment on the teaching programs chapter, Chapter 5, is worth 20 points. The book review assignment on *Let Me Hear Your Voice* is worth 50 points. And the web–log assignment is worth 30 points. This provides a grand total of 300 possible points. The percentage used to consider what grade you are earning is the percentage of these possible points that you actually earn.

However, point accumulation is not the grade determiner but is merely used as a convenient way to track progress on the presumption that all course tasks are in progress. This is because doing work on all of the tasks for the course is the more relevant determiner of grades than is the accumulation of points. (For example, a student who tries to accumulate just enough points, on some easier tasks, to get a B—while ignoring other course tasks—would not that way actually meet the criteria for a B and so would have to continue and complete all the required work satisfactorily to earn one of the passing grades.)

Also, students should expect to be asked occasionally to complete various test–like assessments. The level of success on these assessments helps gauge the extent to which the work on the course assignments is actually producing the learning implied by the completion of that work.

These practices are in place because the scientific research–data based Shaping Model of Education recognizes the student/professor relationship as a professional relationship in which coercive practices (i.e., aversive educational practices) are seen as inappropriate (so long as extreme conditions do not exist making such practices unavoidable). Instead, the more effective, efficient, and productive non–coercive practices of carefully designed and sequenced assignments emphasizing added reinforcement for timely work well done is generally seen as more appropriate. So, your effort and cooperation are expected...
and presumed; please do not disappoint either your professor or yourself.

About Using the Texts & Study Question Books

Unless specified otherwise, you need to write out your answers in longhand. The reason you are to write out your answers by hand is that this type of verbal response brings about more learning than merely saying—or even typing—the answer. This is because—as taught in another advanced behaviorology class (i.e., BEHG 355: Verbal Behavior I)—writing the answer in longhand involves both point-to-point correspondence and formal similarity between the stimuli and the response products of the answer.

The Maurice et al Book

The Maurice et al textbook introduces the basic practices and considerations derived from the natural science of behavior, behaviorology, and applied to autism interventions. (The authors have made this book look mostly like a behavior analysis book for historical reasons as discussed in the “Introduction to Behaviorology Origins” paper covered in the prerequisite course.)

The Maurice et al Study Question Book

The study questions were prepared to help you absorb the material from each of the chapters in the Maurice et al textbook. You are to complete each chapter’s study questions in the sequence assigned. Learning occurs when responses are made (like writing question answers) and reinforced, especially responses that automatically provide their own reinforcing consequences (like being right) as does writing out study question answers correctly. You complete the assigned study questions, after reading the chapter through, by writing out the answer to each question when you come to it as you reread the chapter. You write out the answers right in the Study Question book. Write out your answers in full sentences that incorporate the questions.

The study question book starts with a section titled To the Student and Teacher. Read this section first! It explains more on how to do the study questions successfully. (You will also find it helpful to mark the number of each study question in the margins of the textbook at the location of the study question’s answer...) Study question assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section. Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

Note #5: Since you are to write out your answers to the study questions directly in the study question book, you need to have your own study question book. To assure that this is followed by everyone equally, you need to fill out and send in to your professor (by regular postal mail) the original ownership form in the rear of your study question book.

The Book Review Assignment

This assignment involves reading Maurice’s Let Me Hear Your Voice and then writing a three to five page typed review of this book. You may begin this assignment anytime after completing Chapter 4 of the Maurice et al book. You should submit this assignment before you finish Chapter 17 of the Maurice et al book (a period of eight weeks at most). Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

The Web-Log Assignment

This written assignment requires you to create a two to three page typed log (like a diary) from a two to three hour visit to the autism-related parts of two specific web sites. The two sites you are to visit are the Cambridge Center for Behavioral Studies site (www.behavior.org) and the Los Horcones Community site (www.loshorcones.org.mx). Your log should include not only the times, visited page names, visited page sequences, and page-visit durations, but also your account of the best things you learned at these sites, plus any interesting discoveries worthy of return visits. You may begin this assignment anytime after completing Chapter 4 of the Maurice et al book. You should submit this assignment before you finish Chapter 17 of the Maurice et al book (a period of eight weeks at most). Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

Submitting Your Work

Different assignments have different work submission methods. These only apply if you are taking the course for TIBI credit. (Any addresses and phone/fax numbers that you may need will be clarified upon enrollment.)

To submit your study question answers, which must be hand-written, you can scan and fax to your professor the pages that have your answers for each assignment. However, your professor would prefer that you photocopy those pages and send them to your professor by regular postal mail.

To submit your book review and web log, you may email your work to your professor (but do not use email attachments). Or, you may scan and fax the work to your professor. However, your professor would prefer that you print out your work (although it too may be hand-written), photocopy it, and send it to your professor by regular postal mail.

In all cases, you are to keep the original of your work. This insures against loss and enables you and your professor to communicate about your work (as you will then both have an identical copy). Note, however, that for study question answers, email and email attachments are
neither reliable enough, nor identical enough, for this purpose, so they are not to be used for this purpose.

Your work will be perused and points will be allocated according to the quality of your work. Should any inadequacies be apparent, you will be informed so that you can make improvements. While sometimes your professor will provide a metaphorical pat on the back for a job well done, if you do not hear of any inadequacies, then pat yourself on the back for a job well done even as you continue on to the next assignment.

Assignment Sequence

Students should work their way through the course by reading and studying the texts and materials, and sending in their work for each assignment. The slowest reasonable self-pacing of the coursework (presuming a typical 15-week semester) is this sequence which can be used as a check-off list:

Week 2: The Maurice et al book, Ch. 2.
Week 3: The Maurice et al book, Ch. 3.
Week 4: The Maurice et al book, Ch. 4, and begin the book review and the web-log assignments.
Week 5: The Maurice et al book, Ch. 5.
Week 6: The Maurice et al book, Ch. 6.
Week 7: The Maurice et al book, Ch. 7.
Week 15: (This is a spare week to complete any unfinished work.)

Do the assignments in this sequence, even if you do them at a faster pace than the pace presented here. If you go slower than this schedule, assignments could easily back up on you to the point where insufficient time remains to complete them in a satisfactory manner.

Note #6: Be sure that everything you submit is readable and contains your name!

Note #7: The usual higher education workload expectation for a course is about 150 hours. (The typical face-to-face course features about 50 in-class contact hours with the university expecting about 100 more hours of additional study at the average rate of about two hours out of class for each hour in class.) This can be accomplished at rates ranging from about 50 hours per week over three weeks to about ten hours per week over the typical 15 weeks of a semester. Of course, some students may take a little less than 150 hours, while others may take more than 150 hours, to do the work to the same acceptable and expected standard.

You can—and are encouraged to—go through the assignments as rapidly as your schedule allows. This could mean spending a typical 15 weeks on the course. Or it could mean doing the whole course in as little as—but not in less than—three weeks, as one would progress through the single allowed course in a three-week summer school term. That is, you could work on the course anywhere from minimum part-time (i.e., at the rate of about ten hours per week, as described in the Assignment Sequence section) to maximum full-time (i.e., at the rate of about 50 hours per week).

If you are to be successful, you need to exercise some self-management skills by starting immediately and keeping up a reasonable and steady pace on the coursework. You need to do this because your professor will not be reminding you that the products of your work are due; all the course work is set forth in this syllabus and so is automatically assigned. You are expected to follow through on your own. You need to set an appropriate pace for yourself (or accept the pace in the Assignment Sequence section) and adhere to that pace, and thereby get the sequence of assignments done and submitted to your professor. This will assist your success.

At various points in the course, you will be provided with feedback about your work. Upon completing all the coursework, you will be provided with your earned grade. (The grade is provided solely for the person whose work earned the grade.) We at TIBI are sure that the outcomes of your efforts to study this aspect of behaviorological science will benefit both you and others, and we encourage you to study further aspects.

Note #8: The Maurice et al book, Preface & Ch. 1.
TIBI Online Syllabus for BEHG 420: Performance Management and Preventing Workplace Violence

Stephen F. Ledoux
SUNY–Canton

This is another installment in the series of syllabi for TIBI’s online courses. Each syllabus appears in Behaviorology Today basically in the same form as it appears online. The series continues whenever there are syllabi that have yet to be printed, or that require reprinting due to substantial revisions. Locate additional syllabi through the Syllabus Directory at the back of the most recent issue.—Ed.

Note #1: This syllabus contains some notes that supplement the more traditional syllabus parts. Each note is numbered for convenient reference. Some notes, like this one, have multiple paragraphs.

This syllabus is a long document. It is longer than a syllabus for a face-to-face course as it contains material that the professor would otherwise cover in person. Hence it was designed to be printed out for reading! Furthermore, it was designed to be used as a task check-off list. Please print it out and use it these ways.

The only activity in this course for which you might need access to a computer is to print this syllabus as a reference for how this course works so you can follow the directions to complete this course. This is a matter of accessibility, student access to education, so that everyone who wants this course can take it regardless of whether they own several computers or only have access to one in their local library or in a friend’s home.

Students can, if they wish, study the topics of this course free of charge, perhaps to fulfill their own interests. They would do so by completing the activities described in this syllabus.

Students can also study the topics of this course for TIBI (The International Behaviorology Institute) credit, perhaps toward a TIBI certificate. They would do so by paying the necessary fee to be assigned a professor to provide feedback on, and assessment of, their efforts. (This course can be part of several TIBI certificates. Contact TIBI or visit www.behaviorology.org for details.)

Also, students can study the topics of this course for regular academic credit; they would do so by contacting any accredited institution of higher education that offers behaviorology courses accepted by TIBI, such as the State University of New York at Canton (SUNY–Canton) at www.canton.edu which is SUNY–Canton’s web site. At SUNY–Canton this course is offered as SSCI 455: Performance Management and Preventing Workplace Violence. TIBI automatically accepts A or B grades from the academic-credit version of this course as equivalent to its own course toward its certificates (and C and D academic-credit grades can be remediated through TIBI for TIBI credit; contact TIBI for details). Alternatively, the work done completing this course through TIBI may make taking the course for academic credit easier; ask the professor who teaches SUNY–Canton’s equivalent course about this.

The parts of this syllabus cover many topics. While the headings may be different, these include (a) the course content and objectives, (b) the text, study, and assessment materials, (c) the grading policy, (d) the necessary work-submission methods and professor feedback, and (e) the study-activity sequence and completion timelines.

Note #2: The prerequisite (or corequisite) for this course is BEHG 101: Introduction to Behaviorology I. If you have not had this prerequisite course (or its academic-credit equivalent such as SSCI 245: Introduction to the Science and Technology of Behavior, from SUNY–Canton), then you need to take it before taking this course for TIBI credit.

Course Description
BEHG 420: Performance Management and Preventing Workplace Violence. This course examines the application of the natural science and technology of behavior to the understanding, prevention, and deterrence of workplace violence, and does so on three levels: The course covers the scientific understanding of punishment and coercion as these inform many practices present in workplace settings that match the violence-prone profile. Next, the course emphasizes the acquisition and application of the behavior management-related knowledge and skills, known as performance management, that are relevant to changing the circumstances that lead to workplace violence so as to prevent its possible occurrence. Then the course extends its systematic and data-based behaviorological orientation from the understanding of workplace violence, and its prevention, to developing, comparing, applying, and evaluating policies and procedures to intervene in the dynamics, indicators, types, and triggers of workplace violence to deter its imminent occurrence. These three levels are considered for all workplaces including those in industrial/manufacturing, marketing, financial, institutional, organizational, or retail business settings.
Note #3: To check out other behaviorology courses offered by TIBI, visit their locations on the TIBI web site (www.behaviorology.org). To check out other behaviorology courses offered by SUNY–Canton, see the list and descriptions—and in some cases, the syllabi for the asynchronous versions—on the faculty web page of the professor who teaches them (which currently is Dr. Stephen F. Ledoux; click Ledoux in the faculty directory at www.canton.edu).

Course Objectives
The main objective of the course is to expand the student's behavior repertoire measurably in relevant areas of behaviorological course content. The student will:

- Summarize the scientific analysis of punishment and coercion, and its implications for behavior engineering efforts, especially as related to workplace violence.
- Demonstrate the behaviorological knowledge and skills relevant to changing the circumstances that lead to workplace violence so as to prevent its occurrence;
- Compare the range of best practices available to resolve problems in particular cases;
- Apply appropriate strategies for similar cases in different settings;
- Evaluate the outcomes of various violence–prevention methods.
- Incorporate behavior engineering into the policies and procedures to deter the imminent occurrence of workplace violence;
- Analyze the dynamics, indicators, types, and triggers of workplace violence;
- Adapt or develop appropriate policies and procedures for a particular type of workplace setting;
- Evaluate the effectiveness of various policies and procedures in deterring imminent workplace violence in a range of settings.

Additional Objectives

- Successful, earning students will use (at an accuracy level of 90% or better) relevant disciplinary terminology when discussing (a) the scientific basis of violence in society, (b) the performance–management skills whose application prevents so much violence of all types in all workplaces, and (c) the policies, and intervention strategies, appropriate to deterring workplace violence.
- Such successful students will also ask questions, seek answers, converse about, and act on the uses and benefits of this discipline for humanity.
- Such successful students will also behave more effectively in other ways with respect to themselves and others.

Required Materials (in their order of use)


The first two of these required books carry over as part of other behavior engineering topic courses of possible interest to you (e.g., Rehabilitation, and Preventing School Violence).

Recommended Materials

If you think “employees” when this video program speaks of families, then it may be of interest to you in going deeper into the course topics and extensions:


Note #4: You can order most of the required or recommended books and A/V items through the publishers, including ABCs at 315–386–2684, and Mountain States Employers Council at 303–839–5177 (or Nicoletti–Flater Associates at 303–989–1617), and P&T ink either at 435–752–5749 or—toll free—for credit card orders only) at 1–888–750–4814. You may also order materials through the online bookstore at www.behavior.org which is the web site of the Cambridge Center for Behavioral Studies.

Also, this course is grounded in the Shaping Model of Education which is informed by behaviorological science (rather than the Presentation Model of Education which is informed by psychology). In the shaping model teaching is not seen as mostly talking (nor is learning seen as mostly listening). Instead, teaching is the scientifically grounded design, arrangement, and application of educational materials, methods, and contingencies in ways that generate and maintain small but continuously accumulating behaviors the short and long range consequences of which are successful in producing an ever wider range of effective responding (i.e., learning) on the part of the student.

Grades

Grading policy does not involve curves, for you are not in competition with anyone (except perhaps yourself). That is, all students are expected to produce the academic products demonstrating that they have, individually, achieved at least mastery of the subject matter, if not fluency. Therefore, all students are expected to earn an A or a B (although inadequate products will produce a
lower result that requires remediation before it can become a passing grade). Also, all students will receive the grades they earn. This holds even if the expectation for which the course is designed—that all students earn As—is met: If all earn As, then all receive As.

Passing grades are limited to A and B, and are earned according to the amount of assigned work that is successfully completed:

Earning an A consists mainly of satisfactorily completing 90% or more of the work on all assignments.

Earning a B consists mainly of satisfactorily completing more than 80% of the work on all assignments (but not more than 90% on them).

For convenience a point–accumulation system is invoked to keep track of progress through the course. The assignments on the Coercion and Its Fallout book are worth 100 points (5 points for each of the 20 assigned chapters). The assignments on the Bringing Out the Best in People book are also worth 100 points (20 points for each of the five assignments). And the assignments on the Violence Goes to Work book are also worth 100 points (30–35 points for each of the three assignments). This provides a grand total of 300 possible points. The grade that you receive is partly based on the percentage of these possible points that you actually earn.

However, point accumulation is not the grade determinant but is merely used as a convenient way to track progress on the presumption that all course tasks are in progress. This is because doing work on all of the tasks for the course is the more relevant determinant of grades than is the accumulation of points. (For example, a student who tries to accumulate just enough points, on some easier tasks, to get a B—while ignoring other course tasks—would not that way actually meet the criteria for a B and so would have to continue and complete all the required work satisfactorily to earn one of the passing grades.)

Also, students should expect to be asked occasionally to complete various test–like assessments. The level of success on these assessments helps gauge the extent to which the work on the course assignments is actually producing the learning implied by the completion of that work.

These practices are in place because the scientific research–data based Shaping Model of Education recognizes the student/professor relationship as a professional relationship in which coercive practices (i.e., aversive educational practices) are seen as inappropriate (so long as extreme conditions do not exist making such practices unavoidable). Instead, the more effective, efficient, and productive non–coercive practices of carefully designed and sequenced assignments emphasizing added reinforcement for timely work well done is generally seen as more appropriate. So, your effort and cooperation are expected and presumed: please do not disappoint either your professor or yourself.

About Using the Texts & Study Question Books

Unless specified otherwise, you need to write out your answers in longhand. The reason you are to write out your answers by hand is that this type of verbal response brings about more learning than merely saying—or even typing—the answer. This is because—as taught in another advanced behaviorology class (i.e., BEHG 355: Verbal Behavior I)—writing the answer in longhand involves both point–to–point correspondence and formal similarity between the stimuli and the response products of the answer.

The Coercion Book

The Coercion book introduces students to the problems resulting from coercion and punishment—the scientifically discovered basis of most of the violence throughout society, including in the workplace—and the general scientific approach to solving those problems.

The Best in People Book

The Best in People book takes students through the scientific principles of behavior and their workplace applications by covering the development of the personal, positive, proactive, non–coercive and effective performance–management practices and skills that are vital both to successful management of workplaces, and to preventing all levels and types of violence in workplaces.

The Violence Goes to Work Book

The Violence Goes to Work book provides students with the knowledge, policies, and intervention strategies appropriate to deterring incipient, potentially lethal workplace violence.

The Study Question Books

Each textbook (Coercion, Best in People, and Violence Goes to Work) has a book of study questions. These were prepared to help you expand your behavior repertoire based on the material in each textbook. You are to complete each textbook’s study questions in the sequence assigned because learning occurs when reinforced responses are made (like writing question answers), especially responses that automatically provide their own reinforcing consequences (like being right) as does writing out study question answers correctly. You complete the assigned study questions, after reading the chapter through, by writing out the answer to each question when you come to each question as you reread the chapter. You write out the answers right in the Study Question book. Write out your answers in full sentences that incorporate the questions. Check all your answers. And make any corrections that you find you need to make as you review and learn the material.

Most study question books start with a section titled To the Student and Teacher. Read this section first! It
explains more on how to do the study questions successfully. (You may also find it helpful to mark the number of each study question in the margins of the text at the location of the study question’s answer.) Study question assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section. Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

Note #5: Since you are to write out your answers to the study questions directly in the study question books, you need to have your own study question books. To assure that this is followed by everyone equally, you need to fill out and send in to your professor (by regular postal mail) the original ownership forms in the rear of your ABCs—published study question books.

Submitting Your Work

These work-submission methods only apply if you are taking the course for TIBI credit. (Any addresses and phone/fax numbers that you may need will be clarified upon enrollment.)

To submit your study question answers, which must be hand-written, you can scan and fax to your professor the pages that have your answers for each assignment. However, your professor would prefer that you photocopy those pages and send them to your professor by regular postal mail.

In all cases, you are to keep the original of your work. This insures against loss and enables you and your professor to communicate about your work (as you will then both have an identical copy). Note, however, that for study question answers, email and email attachments are neither reliable enough, nor identical enough, for this purpose, so they are not to be used for this purpose.

Your work will be perused and points will be allocated according to the quality of your work. Should any inadequacies be apparent, you will be informed so that you can make improvements. While sometimes your professor will provide a metaphorical pat on the back for a job well done, if you do not hear of any inadequacies, then pat yourself on the back for a job well done even as you continue on to the next assignment.

Assignment Sequence

Students should work their way through the course by reading and studying the texts and materials, and sending in their work for each assignment. The slowest reasonable self-pacing of the coursework (presuming a typical 15-week semester) is this sequence which can be used as a check-off list:

Week 15: Complete any unfinished work.

Do the assignments in this sequence, even if you do them at a faster pace than the pace presented here. If you go slower than this schedule, assignments could easily back up on you to the point where insufficient time remains to complete them in a satisfactory manner.

Note #6: Be sure that everything you submit is readable and contains your name!

Note #7: The usual higher education workload expectation for a course is about 150 hours. (The typical face-to-face course features about 50 in-class contact hours with the university expecting about 100 more hours of additional study at the average rate of about two hours out of class for each hour in class.) This can be accomplished at rates ranging from about 50 hours per week over three weeks to about ten hours per week over the typical 15 weeks of a semester. Of course, some students may take a little less than 150 hours, while others may take more than 150 hours, to do the work to the same acceptable and expected standard.

You can—and are encouraged to—go through the assignments as rapidly as your schedule allows. This could mean spending a typical 15 weeks on the course. Or it could mean doing the whole course in as little as—but not in less than—three weeks, as one would progress through the single allowed course in a three-week summer school term. That is, you could work on the course anywhere from minimum part-time (i.e., at the rate of about ten hours per week, as described in the Assignment Sequence section) to maximum full-time (i.e., at the rate of about 50 hours per week).

If you are to be successful, you need to exercise some self-management skills by starting immediately and keeping up a reasonable and steady pace on the course work. You need to do this because your professor will not be reminding you that the products of your work are due; all the course work is set forth in this syllabus and so is automatically assigned. You are expected to follow through on your own. You need to set an appropriate pace for yourself (or accept the pace in the Assignment
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[This is another installment in the series of syllabi for TIBI's online courses. Each syllabus appears in Behaviorology Today basically in the same form as it appears online. The series continues whenever there are syllabi that have yet to be printed, or that require reprinting due to substantial revisions. Locate additional syllabi through the Syllabus Directory at the back of the most recent issue.—Ed.]

This course could have had a longer but more complete course title. That title would have been: Effective, Positive, Pro–Active, Scientific, Behaviorological, Non–Coercive Classroom Management Practices and Skills Especially for Preventing School Violence.

Note #1: This syllabus contains some notes that supplement the more traditional syllabus parts. Each note is numbered for convenient reference. Some notes, like this one, have multiple paragraphs.
This syllabus is a long document. It is longer than a syllabus for a face–to–face course as it contains material that the professor would otherwise cover in person. Hence it was designed to be printed out for reading! Furthermore, it was designed to be used as a task check–off list. Please print it out and use it these ways.

The only activity in this course for which you might need access to a computer, before the web–log, is to print this syllabus as a reference for how this course works so you can follow the directions to complete this course. This is a matter of access, student access to education, so that everyone who wants this course can take it regardless of whether they own several computers or only have access to one in their local library or in a friend's home.

Students can, if they wish, study the topics of this course free of charge, perhaps to fulfill their own interests. They would do so simply by completing the activities described in this syllabus.

Students can also study the topics of this course for TIBI (The International Behaviorology Institute) credit, perhaps toward a TIBI certificate. They would do so by paying the necessary fee to be assigned a professor to provide feedback on, and assessment of, their efforts. (This course can be part of several TIBI certificates, including the Effective Autism Intervention Certificate. Contact TIBI or visit www.behaviorology.org for details.)

Also, students can study the topics of this course for regular academic credit; they would do so by contacting any accredited institution of higher education that offers behaviorology courses accepted by TIBI, such as the State University of New York at Canton (suni–Canton) at www.canton.edu which is suny–Canton's web site. At suny–Canton this course is offered as SSCI 465: Classroom Management and Preventing School Violence. TIBI automatically accepts A or B grades from the academic–credit version of this course as equivalent to its own course toward its certificates (and C and D academic–credit grades can be remediated through TIBI for TIBI credit; contact TIBI for details). Alternatively, the work done completing the course through TIBI may make taking the course for academic credit easier; ask the professor who teaches suny–Canton's equivalent course about this.

The parts of this syllabus cover many topics. While the headings may be different, these include (a) the course content and objectives, (b) the text, study, and assessment materials, (c) the grading policy, (d) the necessary work–submission methods and professor feedback, and (e) the study–activity sequence and completion timelines.

Note #2: The prerequisite (or corequisite) for this course is BEHG 101: Introduction to Behaviorology I. If you have not had this prerequisite course (or its academic–credit equivalent such as SSCI 245: Introduction to the Science and Technology of Behavior, from suny–Canton) then you need to take it before taking this course for TIBI credit.
Course Description

BEHG 425: Non–Coercive Classroom Management and Preventing School Violence. This course covers the application of behaviorology, the natural science and technology of behavior, to classroom—management practices and skills, including the relevance of these practices and skills to preventing school violence. The course first examines the scientific understanding of punishment and coercion because these form the foundation not only of the many school practices that prompt violence but also of most of the violence throughout society. The course next examines one of the educational applications of the scientific principles of behavior in terms of the positive, pro—active, non—coercive and effective classroom—management practices and skills that school teachers and staff can personally implement—especially in the classroom but also in the cafeteria, in the gym, on the bus, and on the playground—to reduce and prevent the occurrence of all kinds of school violence while also enhancing the effectiveness of instruction. Then the course examines the school—wide policies and procedures and intervention strategies that schools can implement to deter incipient, potentially lethal school violence. Developing behavior management—related skills, including those applicable to changing the circumstances that lead to school violence so as to reduce that violence, is an integral course component.

Course Objectives

The main objective of this course is to expand the student’s behavior repertoire measurably in relevant areas of behaviorological course content. The student will:

🌟 Summarize the behavior engineering analysis of the scientific foundations of coercion and punishment, especially as related to school violence;

🌟 Demonstrate behavior engineering in classroom management knowledge and skills especially as relevant to changing the circumstances and conditions that lead to school violence, and thereby prevent such violence;

🌟 Incorporate behavior engineering in the understanding of school violence and in the policies and procedures to deter its occurrence.

Additional Objectives

🌟 Successful earning students will use (at an accuracy level of 90% or better) relevant disciplinary terminology when discussing (a) the scientific basis of violence in society, (b) the classroom—management skills whose application prevents so much violence of all types in schools, and (c) the policies, and intervention strategies, appropriate to deterring schoolplace violence.

🌟 Such successful students will also ask questions, seek answers, converse about, and act on the uses and benefits of this discipline for humanity.

🌟 Such successful students will also behave more effectively in other ways with respect to themselves and others.

Required Materials (in their order of use)


The first two of these required books carry over as part of other behavior engineering topic courses of possible interest to you (e.g., Rehabilitation, and Preventing Workplace Violence).

Note #4: You can order the required books through the publishers, including ABC at 1-315-386-2684. You can also order these books through the online bookstore at www.behavior.org which is the web site of the Cambridge Center for Behavioral Studies.

The audio/visual (A/v) materials are required for the course. However, you may not be required to own your own copies if you can locate copies to view (such as in your professor’s college library). Still, you may wish to obtain your own copy for convenience reasons. The Classroom Management videos cost about $150 (and substantially less than this when they are published on DVDs) while the Stable Family videos cost about $50. To obtain personal copies of the A/v materials, order directly from the publisher, P&T ink, either at 435-752-5749 or—toll free—for credit—card orders only at 1-888-750-4814.
**Recommended Materials**

These are references to materials that, while not required for the course, may also be of interest to those who wish to go deeper into the course topics and extensions (and you can order them from the same sources that supply the required materials):


**An Angel Out of Tune.** Logan, ut: P&T ink.

Dr. Latham is not the only author of quality materials on these topics. However, his peers have judged his work to be the very best available. (For example, see “About the Book” on p. vii in *Study Questions for Glenn Latham’s The Power of Positive Parenting.*) Hence his works are used for this course.

Also, this course is grounded in the Shaping Model of Education which is informed by behaviorological science (rather than the Presentation Model of Education which is informed by psychology). In the shaping model, teaching is not seen as mostly talking (nor is learning seen as mostly listening). Instead, teaching is the scientifically grounded design, arrangement, and application of educational materials, methods, and contingencies in ways that generate and maintain small but continuously accumulating behaviors the short and long range consequences of which are successful in producing an ever wider range of effective responding (i.e., learning) on the part of the student.

**Grades**

Grading policy does not involve curves, for you are not in competition with anyone (except perhaps yourself). That is, all students are expected to produce the academic products demonstrating that they have, individually, achieved at least mastery of the subject matter, if not fluency. Therefore, all students are expected to earn an A or a B (although inadequate products will produce a lower result that requires remediation before it can become a passing grade). Also, all students will receive the grades they earn. This holds even if the expectation for which the course is designed—that all students earn As—is met: If all earn As, then all receive As.

Passing grades are limited to A and B, and are earned according to the amount of assigned work that is successfully completed:

* Earning an A consists mainly of satisfactorily completing 90% or more of the work on all assignments.
* Earning a B consists mainly of satisfactorily completing more than 80% of the work on all assignments (but not more than 90% on them).

For convenience a point–accumulation system is invoked to keep track of progress through the course. Each of the 20 usually short assignments on *Coercion and Its Fallout* is worth 5 points, for a total of 100 points. Each of the six longer assignments on *Keys to Classroom Management* is worth 20 points, for a total of 120 points. Each of the 11 usually short assignments on *After Columbine* is worth 10 points, for a total of 110 points. Each of the eight Audio/Visual assignments is worth 5 points, for a total of 80 points. And the web–log assignment is worth 10 points. This provides a grand total of 450 possible points. The grade that you receive is partly based on the percentage of these possible points that you actually earn.

However, point accumulation is not the grade determiner but is merely used as a convenient way to track progress on the presumption that all course tasks are in progress. This is because doing work on all of the tasks for the course is the more relevant determiner of grades than is the accumulation of points. (For example, a student who tries to accumulate just enough points, on some easier tasks, to get a B—while ignoring other course tasks—would not that way actually meet the criteria for a B and so would have to continue and complete all the required work satisfactorily to earn one of the passing grades.)

Also, students should expect to be asked occasionally to complete various test–like assessments. The level of success on these assessments helps gauge the extent to which the work on the course assignments is actually producing the learning implied by the completion of that work.

These practices are in place because the scientific research–data based Shaping Model of Education recognizes the student/professor relationship as a professional relationship in which coercive practices (i.e., aversive educational practices) are seen as inappropriate (so long as extreme conditions do not exist making such practices unavoidable). Instead, the more effective, efficient, and productive non–coercive practices of carefully designed and sequenced assignments emphasizing added reinforcement for timely work well done is generally seen as more appropriate. So, your effort and cooperation are expected and presumed; please do not disappoint either your professor or yourself.
**About Using the Texts & Study Question Books**

Unless specified otherwise, you need to write out your answers in longhand. The reason you are to write out your answers by hand is that this type of verbal response brings about more learning than merely saying—or even typing—the answer. This is because—as taught in another advanced behaviorology class (i.e., BEH 355: Verbal Behavior I)—writing the answer in longhand involves both point-to-point correspondence and formal similarity between the stimuli and the response products of the answer.

**The Coercion Book**

The *Coercion* book introduces students to the problems resulting from coercion and punishment—the scientifically discovered basis of most of the violence throughout society, including in classrooms and schools—and the general scientific approach to solving those problems.

**The Keys Book**

The *Keys* book takes students through the scientific principles of behavior and one of their applications in education. That application involves the development of personal, positive, proactive, non-coercive and effective classroom-management practices and skills that are fundamental to effective classroom teaching and that are vital to preventing the many levels and types of violence in schools.

**The Columbine Book**

The *Columbine* book provides students with the knowledge, policies, and intervention strategies appropriate to deterring incipient, potentially lethal schoolplace violence.

**The Study Question Books**

Each textbook (*Coercion*, *Keys*, and *Columbine*) has a book of study questions. These were prepared to help you expand your behavior repertoire based on the material in each textbook. You are to complete each textbook’s study questions in the sequence assigned because learning occurs when reinforced responses are made (like writing question answers), especially responses that automatically provide their own reinforcing consequences (like being right) as does writing out study question answers correctly. You complete the assigned study questions, after reading the chapter through, by writing out the answer to each question when you come to it as you reread the chapter. You write out the answers right in the *Study Question* book. Write out your answers in full sentences that incorporate the questions. Check all your answers. And make any corrections that you find you need to make as you review and learn the material.

Most study question books start with a section titled To the Student and Teacher. *Read this section first!* It explains more on how to do the study questions successfully. (You will also find it helpful to mark the number of each study question in the margins of the text at the location of the study question’s answer.) Study question assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section. Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

Note #5: Since you are to write out your answers to the study questions directly in the study question books, you need to have your own study question books. To assure that this is followed by everyone equally, you need to fill out and send in to your professor (by regular postal mail) the original ownership forms in the rear of your ABCs-published study question books.

**The Audio/Visual Assignments**

An important component of the course provides you with a series of audio-visual (A/V) experiences that extend your homework-based book-learning toward the area of skill development. Watch the video programs when they are scheduled. And during each assigned A/V activity, you need to write out by hand a continuous outline/summary of the material on regular, college-ruled paper (as if you were taking sophisticated notes at a lecture). A/V assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section. Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

**The Web–Log Assignment**

This written assignment requires you to create a four to five page typed log (like a diary) from a four to five hour visit to five specific web sites (not necessarily all in the same session). The five sites you are to visit are the *TIBI* site (www.behaviorology.org), Glenn Latham’s Parenting Prescriptions site (www.parentingprescriptions.com), the Los Horcones site (www.loshorcones.org.mx), the Cambridge Center for Behavioral Studies site (www.behavior.org), and the Education Consumers Clearinghouse site (www.education-consumers.com). Your log should include not only the times, visited page names, visited page sequences, and page-visit durations, but also your account of the best things you learned at these sites, plus any interesting discoveries worthy of return visits. (You need to stay on the pages of these sites; complete the assignment before you follow any links on these sites to other sites.) You may begin this assignment anytime after completing Chapter 2 of the *Keys* book. You should submit this assignment before you finish Chapter 6 of the *Keys* book (a period of four weeks). Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.
Submitting Your Work

Different assignments have different work submission methods. These only apply if you are taking the course for TIBI credit. (Any addresses and phone/fax numbers that you may need will be clarified upon enrollment.)

To submit your study question answers, which must be hand–written, you can scan and fax to your professor the pages that have your answers for each assignment. However, your professor would prefer that you photocopy those pages and send them to your professor by regular postal mail.

To submit your s/v outlines and web log, you may email your work to your professor (but do not use email attachments). Or, you may scan and fax the work to your professor. However, your professor would prefer that you print out your work (although it too may be hand–written), photocopy it, and send it to your professor by regular postal mail.

In all cases, you are to keep the original of your work. This insures against loss and enables you and your professor to communicate about your work (as you will then both have an identical copy). Note, however, that for study question answers, email and email attachments are neither reliable enough, nor identical enough, for this purpose, so they are not to be used for this purpose.

Your work will be perused and points will be allocated according to the quality of your work. Should any inadequacies be apparent, you will be informed so that you can make improvements. While sometimes your professor will provide a metaphorical pat on the back for a job well done, if you do not hear of any inadequacies, then pat yourself on the back for a job well done even as you continue on to the next assignment.

Assignment Sequence

Students should work their way through the course by reading and studying the texts and materials, and sending in their work for each assignment. The slowest reasonable self–pacing of the coursework (presuming a typical 15–week semester) is this sequence which can be used as a check–off list:

Week 3: The Coercion book: Chs. 8, 9, 10, & 11.
Week 6: The Keys book: Introduction & Ch. 1, and the Classroom Management video #1. Note: For all six Classroom Management s/v assignments, watch the first half (without doing an outline/summary) before doing the chapter, and watch both halves (while doing an outline/summary) after doing the chapter. (The second half is the satellite call–in part.)
Week 7: The Keys book: Ch. 2, and the Classroom Management video #2.
Week 8: Begin your web–log work, plus the Keys book: Ch. 3, and the Classroom Management video #3.
Week 9: The Keys book: Ch. 4, and the Classroom Management video #4.
Week 10: The Keys book: Ch. 5, and the Classroom Management video #5.
Week 11: Finish your web–log work, plus the Keys book: Ch. 6, and the Classroom Management video #6.
Week 13: The Columbine book: Chs. 4, 5, & 6, and the Stable Family video #1 (the whole video while doing an outline/summary).
Week 14: The Columbine book: Chs. 7, 8, & 9, and the Stable Family video #2 (the whole video while doing an outline/summary).
Week 15: Complete any unfinished work.

Do the assignments in this sequence, even if you do them at a faster pace than the pace presented here. If you go slower than this schedule, assignments could easily back up on you to the point where insufficient time remains to complete them in a satisfactory manner.

Note #6: Be sure that everything you submit is readable and contains your name!

Note #7: The usual higher education workload expectation for a course is about 150 hours. (The typical face–to–face course features about 50 in–class contact hours with the university expecting about 100 more hours of additional study at the average rate of about two hours out of class for each hour in class.) This can be accomplished at rates ranging from about 50 hours per week over three weeks to about ten hours per week over the typical 15 weeks of a semester. Of course, some students may take a little less than 150 hours, while others may take more than 150 hours, to do the work to the same acceptable and expected standard.

You can—and are encouraged to—go through the assignments as rapidly as your schedule allows. This could mean spending a typical 15 weeks on the course. Or it could mean doing the whole course in as little as—but not in less than—three weeks, as one would progress through the single allowed course in a three–week summer school term. That is, you could work on the course anywhere from minimum part–time (i.e., at the rate of about ten hours per week, as described in the Assignment Sequence section) to maximum full–time (i.e., at the rate of about 50 hours per week).
If you are to be successful, you need to exercise some self-management skills by starting immediately and keeping up a reasonable and steady pace on the course work. You need to do this because your professor will not be reminding you that the products of your work are due; all the course work is set forth in this syllabus and so is automatically assigned. You are expected to follow through on your own. You need to set an appropriate pace for yourself (or accept the pace in the Assignment Sequence section) and adhere to that pace, and thereby get the sequence of assignments done and submitted to your professor. This will assist your success.

At various points in the course, you will be provided with feedback about your work. Upon completing all the coursework, you will be provided with your earned grade. (The grade is provided solely for the person whose work earned the grade.) We at TIBI are sure that the outcomes of your efforts to study this aspect of behaviorological science will benefit both you and others, and we encourage you to study further aspects.

The only activity in this course for which you might need access to a computer, before the web–log, is to print this syllabus as a reference for how this course works so you can follow the directions to complete this course. This is a matter of access, student access to education, so that everyone who wants this course can take it regardless of whether they own several computers or only have access to one in their local library or in a friend's home.

Students can, if they wish, study the topics of this course free of charge, perhaps to fulfill their own interests. They would do so simply by completing the activities described in this syllabus.

Students can also study the topics of this course for TIBI (The International Behaviorology Institute) credit, perhaps toward a TIBI certificate. They would do so by paying the necessary fee to be assigned a professor to provide feedback on, and assessment of, their efforts. (This course can be part of several TIBI certificates. Contact TIBI or visit www.behaviorology.org for details.)

Also, students can study the topics of this course for regular academic credit; they would do so by contacting any accredited institution of higher education that offers behaviorology courses accepted by TIBI, such as the State University of New York at Canton (sunny–Canton) at www.canton.edu which is sunny–Canton's web site. At sunny–Canton this course may be offered as SSCI 480: Advanced Verbal Behavior Analysis and Applications. TIBI automatically accepts A or B grades from the academic–credit version of this course as equivalent to its own course toward its certificates (and C and D academic–credit grades can be remediated through TIBI for TIBI credit; contact TIBI for details). Alternatively, the work done completing this course through TIBI may make taking the course for academic credit easier; ask the professor who teaches sunny–Canton's equivalent course about this.

The parts of this syllabus cover many topics. While the headings may be different, these include (a) the course content and objectives, (b) the text, study, and assessment materials, (c) the grading policy, (d) the necessary work–submission methods and professor feedback, and (e) the study–activity sequence and completion timelines.

Note #1: This syllabus contains some notes that supplement the more traditional syllabus parts. Each note is numbered for convenient reference. Some notes, like this one, have multiple paragraphs.

This syllabus is a long document. It is longer than a syllabus for a face–to–face course as it contains material that the professor would otherwise cover in person. Hence it was designed to be printed out for reading! Furthermore, it was designed to be used as a task check–off list. Please print it out and use it these ways.

TIBI Online Syllabus for BEHG 475: Verbal Behavior II

Stephen F. Ledoux

SUNY–Canton

[This is another installment in the series of syllabi for TIBI's online courses. Each syllabus appears in Behaviorology Today basically in the same form as it appears online. The series continues whenever there are syllabi that have yet to be printed, or that require reprinting due to substantial revisions. Locate additional syllabi through the Syllabus Directory at the back of the most recent issue.—Ed.]

Note #2: The prerequisite for this course is BEHG 355: Verbal Behavior I. If you have not had this prerequisite course (or its academic–credit equivalent such as SSCI 380: Introduction to Verbal Behavior Analysis and Applications, from sunny–Canton), then you need to take it before taking this course for TIBI credit.

Course Description

BEHG 475: Verbal Behavior II. Based on the principles and practices of the natural science of behavior, this course takes students through the full range and depth of verbal behavior analysis especially as presented by B.F. Skinner...
in his original book on the topic. Along with extensive applications to literature concerns and examples, and more detailed explanations of exceptions, ambiguities, controversies, and implications (all with a comprehensive set of examples), this course covers five areas: (a) the functional analysis of verbal behavior (including the unit of verbal behavior, vocal behavior, the listener, and the verbal episode), (b) basic variables controlling verbal behavior (including the audience relation and those that produce the elementary verbal operants of mands, tacts, etc.), (c) multiple variables controlling verbal behavior (including multiple audiences, contingencies, stimuli, formal and thematic variables, supplementary stimulation, fragments, and blends), (d) the manipulation of verbal behavior (including autoclitics, grammar, syntax, and composition), and (e) the production of verbal behavior (including self editing, scientific and logical verbal behavior, and thinking).

Note #3: To check out other behaviorology courses offered by TIBI, visit their locations on the TIBI web site (www.behaviorology.org). To check out other behaviorology courses offered by SUNY–Canton, see the list and descriptions—and in some cases, the syllabi for the asynchronous versions—on the faculty web page of the professor who teaches them (which currently is Dr. Stephen F. Ledoux; click Ledoux in the faculty directory at www.canton.edu).

Course Objectives

The main objective of the course is to expand the student's behavior repertoire measurably in relevant areas of behaviorological course content. The student will:

❖ Summarize the functional analysis of verbal behavior (including the unit of verbal behavior, vocal behavior, the listener, the verbal episode, and the verbal community);
❖ Systematize the basic variables controlling overt and covert verbal behavior (including the audience relation and those that produce the elementary verbal operants of mands, tacts, etc.);
❖ Describe multiple variables controlling verbal behavior (including multiple audiences, multiple contingencies, multiple stimuli, formal and thematic variables, supplementary stimulation, fragments, and blends);
❖ Demonstrate the manipulation of verbal behavior (including autoclitics, grammar, syntax, and composition);
❖ Compare types of verbal behavior production (including self editing, scientific and logical verbal behavior, poetry, literature, non-fiction, and thinking); and
❖ Elaborate a range of examples of ordinary verbal behavior phenomena as well as exceptions, ambiguities, controversies, implications, and applications.

Additional Objectives

❖ Successful, a learning students will use (at an accuracy level of 90% or better) basic disciplinary terminology both when discussing behaviorological knowledge, and when applying behaviorological skills, relevant to verbal behavior analysis and applications.
❖ Such successful students will also ask questions, seek answers, converse about, and act on the uses and benefits of this discipline for humanity.
❖ Such successful students will also behave more effectively in other ways with respect to themselves and others.

Required Materials (in their order of use)

❖ Fraley, L.E. (2004). General Behaviorology: The Natural Science of Human Behavior. The chapter on “Verbal Behavior.” (Also printed in the four issues of volumes 7 and 8 of Behaviorology Today. Contact TIBI for options on obtaining this material, along with its study questions.)

Recommended Materials

These are references to materials that, while not required for the course, may also be of interest to those who wish to go deeper into the course topics and extensions:


**Grades**

Grading policy does not involve curves, for you are not in competition with anyone (except perhaps yourself). That is, all students are expected to produce the academic products demonstrating that they have, individually, achieved at least mastery of the subject matter, if not fluency. Therefore, all students are expected to earn an A or a B (although inadequate products will produce a lower result that requires remediation before it can become a passing grade). Also, all students will receive the grades they earn. This holds even if the expectation for which the course is designed—that all students earn A—is met: If all earn As, then all receive As.

Passing grades are limited to A and B, and are earned according to the amount of assigned work that is successfully completed:

- Earning an A consists mainly of satisfactorily completing 90% or more of the work on all assignments.
- Earning a B consists mainly of satisfactorily completing more than 80% of the work on all assignments (but not more than 90% on them).

For convenience a point–accumulation system is invoked to keep track of progress through the course. Each of the 12 assignment on the *Verbal Behavior* book is worth 20 points, for a total of 240 points. Each of the 2 assignment on the *General Behaviorology* book is also worth 20 points, for a total of 40 points. And the web–log assignment is worth 20 points. This provides a grand total of 300 possible points. (Except for the assignment on Chapter 5, all assignments are two–chapter or two–part assignments.) The percentage used to consider what grade you are earning is the percentage of these possible points that you actually earn.

However, point accumulation is not the grade determinant but is merely used as a convenient way to track progress on the presumption that all course tasks are in progress. This is because doing work on all of the tasks for the course is the more relevant determinant of grades than is the accumulation of points. (For example, a student who tries to accumulate just enough points, on some easier tasks, to get a B—while ignoring other course tasks—would not that way actually meet the criteria for a B and so would have to continue and complete all the required work satisfactorily to earn one of the passing grades.)

Also, students should expect to be asked occasionally to complete various test–like assessments. The level of success on these assessments helps gauge the extent to which the work on the course assignments is actually producing the learning implied by the completion of that work.
These practices are in place because the scientific research—data based Shaping Model of Education recognizes the student/professor relationship as a professional relationship in which coercive practices (i.e., aversive educational practices) are seen as inappropriate (so long as extreme conditions do not exist making such practices unavoidable). Instead, the more effective, efficient, and productive non-coercive practices of carefully designed and sequenced assignments emphasizing added reinforcement for timely work well done is generally seen as more appropriate. So, your effort and cooperation are expected and presumed; please do not disappoint either your professor or yourself.

About Using the Texts & Study Question Books

Unless specified otherwise, you need to write out your answers in longhand. The reason you are to write out your answers by hand is that this type of verbal response brings about more learning than merely saying—or even typing—the answer. This is because—as covered in this course—writing the answer in longhand involves both point-to-point correspondence and formal similarity between the stimuli and the response products of the answer.

The Verbal Behavior Book

The Verbal Behavior book covers the principles B.F. Skinner applied, and the concepts he developed, in his original analysis of verbal behavior, including his exploration of exceptions, ambiguities, controversies, applications, and implications.

The Verbal Behavior Study Questions Book

The study questions were prepared to help you absorb the material from each of the chapters in Verbal Behavior. You are to complete each chapter's study questions in the sequence assigned. Learning occurs when responses are made (like writing question answers) and reinforced, especially responses that automatically provide their own reinforcing consequences (like being right) as does writing out study question answers correctly. You complete the assigned study questions, after reading the chapter through, by writing out the answer to each question when you come to the answer as you reread the chapter. You write out the answers right in the Study Question book. Write out your answers in full sentences that incorporate the questions. Check all your answers. And make any corrections that you find you need to make as you review and learn the material.

The study question book starts with a section titled To the Student and Teacher. Read this section first! It explains more on how to do the study questions successfully. (You may also find it helpful to mark the number of each study question in the margins of the text at the location of the study question's answer.) Study question assignments are provided in the Assignment Sequence section. Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

Note #: Since you are to write out your answers to the study questions directly in the study question book, you need to have your own study question book. To assure that this is followed by everyone equally, you need to fill out and send in to your professor (by regular postal mail) the original ownership form in the rear of your study question book.

General Behaviorology: Verbal Behavior (VB) Chapter & Study Guide Materials

The VB chapter of the General Behaviorology book, and its study guide materials and questions, are designed to introduce you to some detailed elaborations of verbal behavior analysis beyond Skinner’s original work. Do these two assignments according to the schedule provided in the Assignment Sequence section. Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

The Web-log Assignment

This written assignment requires you to create a three to four page typed log (like a diary) from a three to four hour visit to these three web sites containing verbal behavior material: (a) www.behaviorology.org (the Contributions to Verbal Behavior Analysis page), (b) Journal of the Analysis of Verbal Behavior, and (c) Verbal Behavior Special Interest Group (with links for the latter two being available among the links at www.behaviorology.org). Your log should include not only the times, visited page names, visited page sequences, and page-visit durations, but also your account of the best things you learned at these sites, plus any interesting discoveries worthy of return visits. You may begin this assignment anytime after completing Chapter 14 of Verbal Behavior. You should submit this assignment before you finish Chapter 19 of Verbal Behavior (a period of three weeks). Submit your work according to the method specified in the Submitting Your Work section.

Submitting Your Work

Different assignments have different work submission methods. These only apply if you are taking the course for TIBI credit. (Any addresses and phone/fax numbers that you may need will be clarified upon enrollment.) To submit your study question answers, which must be hand-written, you can scan and fax to your professor the pages that have your answers for each assignment. However, your professor would prefer that you photocopy those pages and send them to your professor by regular postal mail.
To submit your web log, you may email your work to your professor (but do not use email attachments). Or, you may scan and fax it to your professor. However, your professor would prefer that you print out your work (although it too may be handwritten), photocopy it, and send it to your professor by regular postal mail.

In all cases, you are to keep the original of your work. This insures against loss and enables you and your professor to communicate about your work (as you will then both have an identical copy). Note, however, that for study question answers, email and email attachments are neither reliable enough, nor identical enough, for this purpose, so they are not to be used for this purpose.

Your work will be perused and points will be allocated according to the quality of your work. Should any inadequacies be apparent, you will be informed so that you can make improvements. While sometimes your professor will provide a metaphorical pat on the back for a job well done, if you do not hear of any inadequacies, then pat yourself on the back for a job well done even as you continue on to the next assignment.

Assignment Sequence

Students should work their way through the course by reading and studying the texts and materials, and sending in their work for each assignment. The slowest reasonable self-pace of the coursework (presuming a typical 15-week semester) is this sequence which can be used as a check-off list:

Week 1: *Verbal Behavior*, both Introductions (and transfer the corrections into the body of your text) plus the Preface.
Week 4: *Verbal Behavior*, Ch. 5.
Week 7: *Verbal Behavior*, Chs. 10 & 11.
Week 11: *Verbal Behavior*, Chs. 18 & 19, and complete the Web Log.
Week 12: *Verbal Behavior*, Epilogues and Appendix.
Week 13: Parts 1 & 2 of the VB ch. of *Gen. Behaviorology*.
Week 14: Parts 3 & 4 of the VB ch. of *Gen. Behaviorology*.
Week 15: Complete any unfinished work.

Do the assignments in this sequence, even if you do them at a faster pace than the pace presented here. If you go slower than this schedule, assignments could easily back up on you to the point where insufficient time remains to complete them in a satisfactory manner.

Note #6: Be sure that everything you submit is readable and contains your name!

Note #7: The usual higher education workload expectation for a course is about 150 hours. (The typical face-to-face course features about 50 in-class contact hours with the university expecting about 100 more hours of additional study at the average rate of about two hours out of class for each hour in class.) This can be accomplished at rates ranging from about 50 hours per week over three weeks to about ten hours per week over the typical 15 weeks of a semester. Of course, some students may take a little less than 150 hours, while others may take more than 150 hours, to do the work to the same acceptable and expected standard.

You can—and are encouraged to—go through the assignments as rapidly as your schedule allows. This could mean spending a typical 15 weeks on the course. Or it could mean doing the whole course in as little as—but not in less than—three weeks, as one would progress through the single allowed course in a three-week summer school term. That is, you could work on the course anywhere from minimum part-time (i.e., at the rate of about ten hours per week, as described in the Assignment Sequence section) to maximum full-time (i.e., at the rate of about 50 hours per week).

If you are to be successful, you need to exercise some self-management skills by starting immediately and keeping up a reasonable and steady pace on the course work. You need to do this because your professor will not be reminding you that the products of your work are due; all the course work is set forth in this syllabus and so is automatically assigned. You are expected to follow through on your own. You need to set an appropriate pace for yourself (or accept the pace in the Assignment Sequence section) and adhere to that pace, and thereby get the sequence of assignments done and submitted to your professor. This will assist your success.

At various points in the course, you will be provided with feedback about your work. Upon completing all the coursework, you will be provided with your earned grade. (The grade is provided solely for the person whose work earned the grade.) We at TIBI are sure that the outcomes of your efforts to study this aspect of behaviorological science will benefit both you and others, and we encourage you to study further aspects.\*
**Syllabus Directory**

Each issue of *Behaviorology Today* contains three lists. These lists show where to find only the most up-to-date versions (in title and content) of TIBI’s course syllabi. The first list shows syllabi located in the current issue or past issues. The second list shows the schedule (which may change) of syllabi to appear in some future issues. The third list repeats the syllabi locations (actual or planned) but by course number rather than by issue.

**Up-To-Date Syllabi in Current or Past Issues**

Volume 7, Number 2 (Fall 2004): BEHG 101: *Introduction to Behaviorology I.*

Volume 7, Number 2 (Fall 2004): BEHG 102: *Introduction to Behaviorology II.*


Volume 7, Number 2 (Fall 2004): BEHG 355: *Verbal Behavior I.*

Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005): BEHG 400: *Behaviorological Rehabilitation.*

Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005): BEHG 415: *Basic Autism Intervention Methods.*

Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005): BEHG 420: *Performance Management and Preventing Workplace Violence.*

Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005): BEHG 425: *Non-Coercive Classroom Management and Preventing School Violence.*

Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005): BEHG 475: *Verbal Behavior II.*

**Syllabi Planned for Future Issues**

Volume 8, Number 2 (Fall 2005): BEHG 410: *Behaviorological Thanatology and Dignified Dying.*

Volume 9, Number 1 (Spring 2006): BEHG 365: *Advanced Behaviorology I.*

Volume 9, Number 2 (Fall 2006): BEHG 470: *Advanced Behaviorology II.*


Volume 10, Number 2 (Fall 2007): BEHG 250: *Educational Behaviorology for Education Consumers.*


*An older version appeared in an earlier issue.

**Syllabi Locations Listed by Course Number**

BEHG 101: *Introduction to Behaviorology I:*

- Volume 7, Number 2 (Fall 2004).

BEHG 102: *Introduction to Behaviorology II:*

- Volume 7, Number 2 (Fall 2004).

BEHG 120: *Non-Coercive Companion Animal Behavior Training:*

- Volume 10, Number 1 (Spring 2007).

BEHG 201: *Non-Coercive Child Rearing Principles and Practices:*

- Volume 7, Number 2 (Fall 2004).

BEHG 250: *Educational Behaviorology for Education Consumers:*

- Volume 10, Number 2 (Fall 2007).

BEHG 340: *Educational Behaviorology for Education Providers:*

- Volume 11, Number 2 (Fall 2008).

BEHG 400: *Behaviorological Rehabilitation:*

- Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005).

BEHG 405: *Introduction to Instructional Practices in Educational Behaviorology:*

- Volume 11, Number 2 (Fall 2008).

BEHG 410: *Behaviorological Thanatology and Dignified Dying:*

- Volume 8, Number 2 (Fall 2005).

BEHG 415: *Basic Autism Intervention Methods:*

- Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005).

BEHG 420: *Performance Management and Preventing Workplace Violence:*

- Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005).

BEHG 425: *Non-Coercive Classroom Management and Preventing School Violence:*

- Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005).

BEHG 445: *Advanced Experimental Behaviorology:*

- Volume 12, Number 2 (Fall 2009).

BEHG 455: *Advanced Instructional Practices in Educational Behaviorology:*

- Volume 12, Number 1 (Spring 2009).

BEHG 470: *Advanced Behaviorology II:*

- Volume 9, Number 2 (Fall 2006).

BEHG 475: *Verbal Behavior II:*

- Volume 8, Number 1 (Spring 2005).
Always More at behaviorology.org

Visit TIBI’s web site (www.behaviorology.org) regularly. We are always adding and updating material.

From the Welcome screen, you can select the Sample page of our Behaviorology Community Resources (designed especially for first–time visitors). This page provides a wide selection of useful articles, many from Behaviorology Today, in Adobe PDF format (with a button to click for a free download of Adobe’s Acrobat Reader software, although most computers already have it). The articles are organized on several topical category pages (e.g., contributions to parenting and education, book reviews, and behaviorology around the world). Other selections on the Sample Community Resources page feature descriptions of TIBI’s certificate programs and course syllabi, and links to some very helpful related web sites.

From the Welcome screen or the Sample Community Resources page, you can also select the main page of the web site, the Complete Behaviorology Community Resources page. This page contains a more complete set of materials, including (a) more articles under the same selection categories as on the Sample page, (b) additional article selection categories (e.g., contributions to autism, natural science, outreach, and verbal behavior) each with its own range of pages and PDF materials, (c) many more links to related behavior science web sites, and (d) several new types of selections (e.g., books and magazines pages and PDFs, and upcoming activities).

Visit the web site regularly. After each new issue of Behaviorology Today, we link the issue’s articles to the relevant selections and categories on the web site.

Explore what interests you. And tell us about your site–visit experience. Your input is welcome, and will help us make further improvements.

As with any category of regular membership or Donor level, a paid online membership (US$) earns and supports access to the greater amount of online material included on the Complete Behaviorology Community Resources page. (See TIBIA Memberships & Benefits in this issue.)

Subscriptions & Back Issues

People can receive copies of Behaviorology Today in ways other than as a member. People can subscribe without membership for US$20, and people can obtain back issues for US$10 each. Photocopy, fill out, and send in the “membership” form on a later page. As applicable, check the “subscription” box, and/or list which back issues you are ordering. Donations/Contributions are also welcome, and are tax–deductible as TIBI is non–profit (under 501–c–3).

While supplies last, new subscriptions—with or without a regular membership—will include a copy of each past issue of Behaviorology Today, beginning with Volume 5, Number 1, (Spring 2002).

TIBIA Memberships & Benefits

The levels of TIBIA membership include increasing amounts of basic benefits. Here are all the membership levels and their associated, basic benefits:

Free–online membership. Online visitors who may or may not elect to register online as a free member receive benefits that include these: (a) access to selected, general interest Behaviorology Today articles and links, (b) access to Institute information regarding TIBI Certificates and course syllabi, and (c) access to previews of the benefits of other membership levels.

$5 (to $19) Basic–online membership. Online visitors who pay the $5 online dues earn benefits that include these: All the benefits from the previous membership level plus (a) access to all Behaviorology Today articles and links online, (b) access to TIBI member contact information online, and (c) access to special organizational activities (e.g., invitations to attend TIBI conferences, conventions, workshops, etc.).

$20 (to $39) Subscription membership. Those who mail in (by regular post) the $20 subscription fee and form receive benefits that include these: All the benefits from the previous levels plus a subscription to the paper–printed issues of Behaviorology Today (ISSN 1536–6669).

Contribution amounts beyond these first three levels are Donor levels, which are described in TIBI Donors & Levels in this issue. All memberships are per year. The next four membership levels (Student, Affiliate, Associate, and Advocate) were the Institute’s original membership categories, and so are sometimes designated the “regular” membership levels. Here are these regular membership levels and their basic benefits:

$20 Behaviorology Student membership (requires paper membership application co–signed by advisor or department
chair, and dues payment—see TIBIA Membership Criteria & Costs in this issue). Benefits include all those from the previous levels plus these: Access to all organizational activities (e.g., invitations to attend and participate in meetings, conferences, conventions, workshops, etc.).

$40 Affiliate membership (requires paper membership application, and dues payment—see TIBIA Membership Criteria & Costs in this issue). Benefits include all those from the previous levels plus these: Access to advanced levels for those acquiring the additional qualifications that come from pursuing a professional behaviorology track.

$60 Associate membership (requires paper membership application, and dues payment, and is only available to qualifying individuals—see TIBIA Membership Criteria & Costs in this issue). Benefits include all those from the previous levels plus these: TIBIA voting rights.

$80 Advocate membership (requires paper membership application, and dues payment, and is only available to qualifying individuals—see TIBIA Membership Criteria & Costs in this issue). Benefits include all those from the previous levels plus these: May be elected to hold TIBIA or TIBI office.

Other Benefits

Beyond the intrinsic value that TIBIA membership bestows by virtue of making the member a contributing part of an organization helping to extend and disseminate the findings and applications of the natural science of behavior for the benefit of humanity, and beyond the benefit of receiving the organization’s publications, TIBIA membership benefits include the following:

- Members will have opportunities to present papers, posters, and demonstrations, etc., at the organization’s meetings;
- Members paying regular dues in the third third of the calendar year will be considered as members through the end of the following calendar year;
- Members paying regular dues in the middle third of the calendar year will be allowed to pay one–half the regular dues for the following calendar year;
- A TIBIA member may request the Institute to evaluate his or her credentials to ascertain which TIBI certificate level most accurately reflects the work (and so, by implication, the repertoire) behind those credentials. The Institute will then grant that certificate to the member; as part of this evaluation, the Institute will also describe what work needs to be accomplished to reach the next certificate level. The normal processing fee for this service (US$20) will be waived for members. For the processing fee of US$20, a non–member may also request this evaluation and, should she or he ever join TIBIA, the US$20 already paid will be applied to the initial membership dues owed. (Faculty teaching behaviorology courses can encourage their students to request this evaluation.)

TIBIA continuously considers additional membership benefits. Future iterations of this column will report all new benefits upon their approval.

TIBIA Membership Criteria & Costs

TIBIA has four categories of regular membership, of which two are non–voting and two are voting. The two non–voting categories are Student and Affiliate. The two voting categories are Associate and Advocate. All new members are admitted provisionally to TIBIA at the appropriate membership level. Advocate members consider each provisional member and then vote on whether to elect each provisional member to the full status of her or his membership level or to accept the provisional member at a different membership level.

Admission to TIBIA in the Student membership category shall remain open to all persons who are undergraduate or graduate students who have not yet attained a doctoral level degree in behaviorology or in an acceptably appropriate area.

Admission to TIBIA in the Affiliate membership category shall remain open to all persons who wish to maintain contact with the organization, receive its publications, and go to its meetings, but who are not students and who may not have attained any graduate degree in behaviorology or in an acceptably appropriate area. On the basis of having earned TIBI Certificates, Affiliate members may nominate themselves, or may be invited by the TIBI Board of Directors or Faculty, to apply for an Associate membership.

Admission to TIBIA in the Associate membership category shall remain open to all persons who are not students, who document a behaviorological repertoire at or above the masters level or who have attained at least a masters level degree in behaviorology or in an acceptably appropriate area, and who maintain the good record—typical of “early–career” professionals—of professional accomplishments of a behaviorological nature that support the integrity of the organized, independent discipline of behaviorology including its organizational manifestations such as TIBI and TIBIA. On the basis either of documenting a behaviorological repertoire at the doctoral level or of completing a doctoral level degree in behaviorology or in an acceptably appropriate area, an Associate member may apply for membership as an Advocate.

Admission to TIBIA in the Advocate membership category shall remain open to all persons who are not stu-
Students, who document a behaviorological repertoire at the doctoral level or who have attained a doctoral level degree in behaviorology or in an acceptably appropriate area, who maintain a good record of professional accomplishments of a behaviorological nature, and who demonstrate a significant history—typical of experienced professionals—of work supporting the integrity of the organized, independent discipline of behaviorology including its organizational manifestations such as TIBI and TIBIA.

For all regular membership levels, prospective members need to complete the membership application form and pay the appropriate annual dues.

Establishing the annual dues structure for the different membership categories takes partially into account, by means of percentages of annual income, the differences in income levels and currency values among the world's various countries. Thus, the annual dues for each membership (or other) category are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Dues (in US dollars)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>member</td>
<td>The lesser of 0.6% of annual income, or $120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty member</td>
<td>The lesser of 0.5% of annual income, or $100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate member</td>
<td>The lesser of 0.4% of annual income, or $80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate member</td>
<td>The lesser of 0.3% of annual income, or $60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliate member</td>
<td>The lesser of 0.2% of annual income, or $40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student member</td>
<td>The lesser of 0.1% of annual income, or $20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Minimums: $20 director or faculty; $10 others

## Tibia Membership Application Form

(See the next page for the TIBI / Tibia purposes.)

Copy and complete this form (please type or print)—for membership or contributions or subscriptions or back issues—then send it with your check (made payable to TIBIA) to the TIBIA treasurer at this address:

Dr. Stephen Ledoux  
Tibia Treasurer  
suny–CTC  
34 Cornell Drive  
Canton NY 13617 USA

Check if applies:
- Contribution:  
- Subscription*:  
- Back issues*:  
  ➕ Vol. ___, #___  
  ➕ Vol. ___, #___

Name: ____________________________  
Member Category: __________________ 
Amount enclosed: us$ ____________  
Home Address: _____________________  
Office Address: ____________________  
Office Phone #: ____________________  
Fax #: ___________________________  
E-mail: __________________________  
Degree/Institution:** ____________________________  
Sign & Date: ______________________  

*Subscriptions: us$20/year; back issues: us$10 each.

**For Student Membership:
I verify that the above person is enrolled as a student at:

Name & Signature of Advisor or Dept. Chair:
**TIBI / TIBIA Purposes**

TIBI, as a non–profit educational corporation, is dedicated to many concerns. TIBI is dedicated to teaching behaviorology, especially to those who do not have university behaviorology departments or programs available to them; TIBI is a professional organization also dedicated to expanding the behaviorological literature at least through the magazine/newsletter Behaviorology Today (originally called TIBI News Time) and the Behaviorology and Radical Behaviorism journal;** TIBI is a professional organization also dedicated to organizing behaviorological scientists and practitioners into an association (The International Behaviorology Institute Association—TIBIA) so they can engage in coordinated activities that carry out their shared purposes. These activities include (a) encouraging and assisting members to host visiting scholars who are studying behaviorology; (b) enabling TIBI faculty to arrange or provide training for behaviorology students; and (c) providing TIBI certificates to students who successfully complete specified behaviorology curriculum requirements. And TIBI is a professional organization dedicated to representing and developing the philosophical, conceptual, analytical, experimental, and technological components of the separate, independent discipline of behaviorology, the comprehensive natural science discipline of the functional relations between behavior and independent variables including determinants from the environment, both socio–cultural and physical, as well as determinants from the biological history of the species. Therefore, recognizing that behaviorology’s principles and contributions are generally relevant to all cultures and species, the purposes of TIBI are:

A. to foster the philosophy of science known as radical behaviorism;
B. to nurture experimental and applied research analyzing the effects of physical, biological, behavioral, and cultural variables on the behavior of organisms, with selection by consequences being an important causal mode relating these variables at the different levels of organization in the life sciences;
C. to extend technological application of behaviorological research results to areas of human concern;
D. to interpret, consistent with scientific foundations, complex behavioral relations;
E. to support methodologies relevant to the scientific analysis, interpretation, and change of both behavior and its relations with other events;
F. to sustain scientific study in diverse specialized areas of behaviorological phenomena;
G. to integrate the concepts, data, and technologies of the discipline’s various sub–fields;
H. to develop a verbal community of behaviorologists;
I. to assist programs and departments of behaviorology to teach the philosophical foundations, scientific analyses and methodologies, and technological extensions of the discipline;
J. to promote a scientific “Behavior Literacy” graduation requirement of appropriate content and depth at all levels of educational institutions from kindergarten through university;
K. to encourage the full use of behaviorology as the essential scientific foundation for behavior related work within all fields of human affairs;
L. to cooperate on mutually important concerns with other humanistic and scientific disciplines and technological fields where their members pursue interests overlapping those of behaviorologists; and
M. to communicate to the general public the importance of the behaviorological perspective for the development, well–being, and survival of humankind.

---

*This statement of the TIBI / TIBIA purposes has been adapted from the TIBI by–laws.

**This journal (BARB) is under development at this time and will appear only when its implementation can be fully and properly supported.—Ed.
Some TIBI Contacts:

Lawrence E. Fraley, Ed.D. (Retired, Chair)  
Professor, West Virginia University at Morgantown  
Route 1 Box 233A / Reedsville WV 26547  
lfraley@citlink.net (304) 864–3443 or 864–6888

Stephen F. Ledoux, Ph.D. (Treasurer)  
Professor, State University of New York at Canton  
ledoux@canton.edu  
Faculty web page: Click “Ledoux” under  
“Faculty Directory” at www.canton.edu

Zuilma Gabriela Sigurdardóttir, Ph.D.  
(Member, TIBI Board of Directors)  
Asistant Professor, University of Iceland  
zuilma@hi.is

TIBI Donors & Levels

As contributions to the Institute are tax deductible, TIBI has adopted these policies for donors:

Donors’ Benefits, and Amounts and Titles

Benefits: All donors (a) receive at least the benefits of the Affiliate member level (as described in TIBIA Memberships & Benefits in this issue) and (b) have their name listed (unless they wish otherwise) under their donor title in at least one issue of Behaviorology Today per year.

Per Year Donors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$50 (to $99)</td>
<td>Contributor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100 (to $249)</td>
<td>Supporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250 (to $499)</td>
<td>Patron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500 (to $999)</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000 (to $1,999)</td>
<td>Benefactor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lifetime Donors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 (to $4,999)</td>
<td>Lifetime Contributor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000 (to $9,999)</td>
<td>Lifetime Supporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 (to $19,999)</td>
<td>Lifetime Patron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 (to $49,999)</td>
<td>Lifetime Sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 or more:</td>
<td>Lifetime Benefactor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Past or Current Year

Contributor: Barry Berghaus  
Supporter: Norman Somach  
Supporter: Jack Schapiro  
Patron: Lawrence Fraley  
Patron: Stephen Ledoux